

Summer school Freiburg 2013

Ulrich Bunke*

July 23, 2013

Abstract

1 Bundles, connections and K -theory

1.1 Connections and characteristic forms

We consider a smooth manifold M with its sheaf \mathcal{C}^∞ of smooth complex valued functions. The datum of a complex vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$ on M is equivalent to its sheaf of \mathcal{C}^∞ -modules of sections \mathcal{V} . Vice versa, by Swan's theorem, if \mathcal{V} is projective and finitely generated, then it is the sheaf of smooth sections of a complex vector bundle.

Example 1.1. Consider the sheaf \mathcal{L} on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ of smooth functions f with values in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} such that $f(H) \in H$ for every line $H \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. It is the sheaf of sections of the tautological line bundle $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$.

A vector bundle is called trivial if

$$\mathcal{V} \cong \underbrace{\mathcal{C}^\infty \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{C}^\infty}_n$$

for an appropriate $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Problem 1.2. *How can we decide whether a vector bundle is trivial.*

Characteristic classes provide necessary conditions, see Corollary 1.6.

Let \mathcal{A} be the sheaf of differential graded algebras of complex-valued differential forms on \mathbf{Mf} . Thus $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is the complexified de Rham complex of M . Note that $\mathcal{A}_{|M}^i$ is the sheaf

*NWF I - Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, GERMANY, ulrich.bunke@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

of sections of the bundle $\Lambda^i T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$, in particular $\mathcal{A}^0 \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty$. The cohomology of the complex of global sections

$$H_{dR}^*(M) := H^*(\mathcal{A}(M))$$

is called the de Rham cohomology of M .

A connection on V is a \mathcal{A} -derivation of degree one

$$\nabla : \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A} .$$

It is uniquely determined by its restriction $\nabla : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A}^1$. If V is trivial, then we define a connection by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\nabla^{triv}} & \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A} \quad . \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{A} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\oplus d} & \mathcal{A} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{A} \end{array}$$

Local connections can be glued using a partition of unity. Since a bundle is locally trivial it admits connections locally, and therefore globally.

The map $R^\nabla := \nabla \circ \nabla$ is a map of \mathcal{A}^\sharp -modules (\sharp forgets the differential) and called the curvature of ∇ . In fact,

$$R^\nabla \in (\mathcal{A}^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \text{End}(\mathcal{V}))(M) .$$

If V is trivial, then $R^{\nabla^{triv}} = 0$. If $R^\nabla = 0$, then ∇ is called flat.

Definition 1.3. *We define the Chern form by*

$$\mathbf{ch}(\nabla) := \text{Tr} \exp(R^\nabla) \in \mathcal{A}^{ev}(M) .$$

Given two connections ∇_i on V we can form a connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\text{pr}_M^* V \rightarrow [0, 1] \times M$ which restricts to ∇_i at the end points. We choose the affine path $\tilde{\nabla} := t\nabla_1 + (1-t)\nabla_0 + dt\partial_t$ for the next definition.

Definition 1.4. *We define the transgression Chern form by*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{ch}}(\nabla_1, \nabla_0) := \int_{[0,1] \times M/M} \mathbf{ch}(\tilde{\nabla}) \in \mathcal{A}^{odd}(M) .$$

Lemma 1.5. 1. $d\mathbf{ch}(\nabla) = 0$.

2. $d\mathbf{ch}(\tilde{\nabla}) = \mathbf{ch}(\nabla_1) - \mathbf{ch}(\nabla_0)$.

3. The class $\mathbf{ch}(V) := [\mathbf{ch}(\nabla)] \in H_{dR}^{ev}(M)$ is well-defined.

4. If V is trivial, then $\mathbf{ch}(V) = \dim(V)$.

5. If V admits a flat connection, then $\mathbf{ch}(V) = \dim(V)$.

Corollary 1.6. *If $\mathbf{ch}(V) \neq \dim(V)$, then V is not trivial and does not even admit a flat connection.*

Example 1.7. We continue example 1.1. We have an orthogonal projection $P : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow L$. We define a connection on L by $\nabla^L := P\nabla^{triv}$. Since L is one-dimensional we can identify $\mathbf{End}(L) \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ so that $R^\nabla \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$ is closed. The group $U(n+1)$ acts on L and preserves ∇ . Hence R is $U(n+1)$ -invariant. Since $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \cong U(n+1)/U(n)$ is a symmetric space its invariant differential forms are its harmonic forms. Therefore R^∇ is proportional to the unique $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$ which satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} \omega = 1$. The proportionality factor can be determined by a local calculation:

$$R^\nabla = 2\pi i \omega .$$

Consequently, we have

$$\mathbf{ch}(\nabla) = \exp(2\pi i \omega) . \quad (1)$$

We set $c := [\omega] \in H_{dR}^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$. Then $H_{dR}^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{C}[c]/(c^{n+1})$. For example, if $n = 3$, then

$$\mathbf{ch}(L) = 1 + 2\pi i c + \frac{(2\pi i)^2}{2} c^2 + \frac{(2\pi i)^3}{6} c^3 .$$

Let \bar{V} denote V with the opposite complex structure. A hermitean metric on V is a bundle map $h : \bar{V} \otimes V \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{C}$ which is fibrewise a scalar product. It induces a map

$$h : \overline{(\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A})} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{A} .$$

Lemma 1.8. *Given a connection ∇ on V there exists an adjoint connection ∇^{*h} such that*

$$h \circ (\nabla \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{*h}) = d \circ h .$$

Its curvature satisfies

$$h \circ (1 \otimes R^{\nabla^{*h}}) = h \circ (R^\nabla \otimes 1) .$$

We have

$$\mathbf{ch}_{2n}(\nabla^{*h}) = (-1)^n \overline{\mathbf{ch}_{2n}(\nabla)} . \quad (2)$$

The last relation can explicitly be verified in (1).

Definition 1.9. ∇ is called *hermitean* (and h is called *parallel*), if $\nabla^{*h} = \nabla$. We call ∇ *unitarizable*, if there exists a parallel hermitean metric.

Problem 1.10. *How can we decide whether ∇ is unitarizable.*

If ∇ is trivial, then it admits a parallel metric. In general we can again define characteristic classes which provide obstructions against the existence of a parallel metric. We set $\tilde{\mathbf{ch}}(\nabla, h) := \mathbf{ch}(\nabla^{*h}, \nabla)$.

Lemma 1.11. 1. *We have $d \operatorname{Im}(i^p \tilde{\mathbf{ch}}_{2p-1}(\nabla, h)) = 0$.*

2. The class $\omega(\nabla) := \sum_{p \geq 1} [\text{Im}(i^p \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{h}_{2p-1}(\nabla, h))] \in H_{\mathbb{R}, dR}^{odd}(M)$ does not depend on h .

3. If ∇ admits a parallel metric, then $\omega(\nabla) = 0$.

Proof. 1. follows from Lemma 1.5, 2. and (2). For 2. we consider two metrics h_0, h_1 . We form the metric $\tilde{h} := th_1 + (1-t)h_0$ on $\mathbf{pr}^*V \rightarrow [0, 1] \times M$. Then

$$\tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{h}(\nabla^{*h_1}, \nabla) - \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{h}(\nabla^{*h_0}, \nabla) = d \int_{[0,1] \times M/M} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{pr}^*\nabla, \tilde{h}) + \int_{[0,1] \times M/M} d\tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{pr}^*\nabla, \tilde{h}) .$$

For 3. we use that $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{h}(\nabla, \nabla) = 0$. □

Corollary 1.12. *If ∇ is unitarizable, then $\omega(\nabla) = 0$*

Example 1.13. The connection on \mathcal{L} described in example 1.7 is unitarizable. Indeed, the metric on L induced by the embedding $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is parallel. We have $\text{Im}(i^p \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{h}_{2p-1}(\nabla, h)) = 0$ by the calculation above.

Problem 1.14. *We consider the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with connections*

$$\nabla := d + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dx , \quad \nabla' := d + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ydx$$

Show that ∇ is unitarizable, while ∇' is not. The connection ∇ descends to the quotient $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$, but this descent is not unitarizable.

Example 1.15. We consider $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and define the action of \mathbb{Z} on the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $n(t, z) \mapsto (t+n, \lambda^n z)$. This action preserves the trivial connection ∇^{triv} . We let $V(\lambda) \rightarrow S$ be the quotient with the induced flat connection $\nabla(\lambda)$. One can calculate that

$$\omega(\nabla(\lambda)) = -2 \log |\lambda| \text{or}_{S^1} \in H_{\mathbb{R}, dR}^{odd}(S^1) .$$

Hence $\nabla(\lambda)$ admits a parallel metric if and only if $\lambda \in U(1)$.

We will see more examples of non-unitarizable connections later in this course.

1.2 Bundles and topological K -theory

We consider the functor

$$\overline{Bun} : \mathbf{Mf} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$$

which associates to a manifold M the monoid of isomorphism classes of vector bundles on M under direct sum and to $f : M \rightarrow M'$ the pull-back f^* . This functor is homotopy invariant. In general it is a difficult problem to calculate $\overline{Bun}(M)$. In contrast, a functor $F^0 : \mathbf{Mf} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ is amenable to calculations if it is part of a cohomology theory $F^* : \mathbf{Mf} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-graded}}$. For $F^*(M)$ we have a Mayer-Vietoris sequence and an Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence with second page $E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(M; F^q)$. A good way to study the functor \overline{Bun} is to look first at its approximation by complex K -theory KU^0 .

Complex K -theory is represented by a spectrum KU with homotopy groups

$$\pi_*(KU) \cong \mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}]$$

with $\deg(b) = -2$. We have a natural transformation

$$\mathbf{cycl} : \overline{Bun} \rightarrow KU^0 \tag{3}$$

of monoid valued functors such that a vector bundle $V \rightarrow M$ gives rise to a class $\mathbf{cycl}(V) \in KU^0(M)$. Note that KU is a ring spectrum, and \mathbf{cycl} is multiplicative, of one considers on \overline{Bun} the monoid structure induced by the tensor product of bundles.

Remark 1.16. One can construct complex K -theory by the following procedure. Instead of \overline{Bun} one considers the homotopy invariant functor (presheaf)

$$Bun \in \mathbf{Fun}^{const}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{CommMon}(\mathcal{S}))$$

which associates to a manifold M the monoid space of bundles. We group-complete and sheafify in order to get a sheaf

$$K(Bun) \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc, const}(\mathbf{Mf}, \mathbf{CommGrp}(\mathcal{S}))$$

of commutative group spaces (E_∞ -spaces). As any homotopy invariant sheaf of spaces it representable, in this case by a commutative group space which we can defined to be $\Omega^\infty KU$. If one takes the tensor product of bundles into account, then one gets the multiplicative structure on KU as well. In particular, we have the isomorphism

$$KU^0(M) \cong \pi_0(K(Bun)(M))$$

as rings. If we define topological K -theory in this way the cycle map (3) is tautological. We will develop the techniques for this procedure during this week.

Example 1.17. We can identify $KU^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}[z]/(z^{n+1})$ as rings, where $z := \mathbf{cycl}(L) - 1$. For this one can use the multiplicative version Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

We further consider the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $H\mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}]$ of the ring $\mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}]$. The de Rham isomorphism identifies $H\mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}]^0(M) \cong H_{dR}^{ev}(M)$.

Proposition 1.18. *There is a unique map $\mathbf{ch} : KU \rightarrow H\mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}]$ of spectra such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{Bun}(M) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{cycl}} & KU^0(M) \\ \downarrow \mathbf{ch} & & \downarrow \mathbf{ch} \\ H_{dR}^{ev}(M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H\mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}]^0(M) \end{array} .$$

During this week we will present a construction of regulators. If applied to topological the Chern character forms and the approach to topological K -theory 1.16 we get a simple proof of 1.18.

Example 1.19. *The map $\mathbf{ch} : KU^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \rightarrow H\mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}]^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$ sends z to $\exp(2\pi i b c) - 1$.*

1.3 Flat bundles and algebraic K -theory

We now consider the functor

$$\overline{Bun}_{\nabla, flat} : \mathbf{Mf} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$$

which associates to a manifold M the monoid of isomorphism classes of vector bundles with flat connection on M under direct sum and to $f : M \rightarrow M'$ the pull-back f^* . Its approximation

$$\overline{Bun}_{\nabla, flat} \xrightarrow{\text{forget } \nabla} \overline{Bun} \xrightarrow{\text{cycl}} KU^0$$

by complex K -theory loses most of the information. A better approximation is by the algebraic K -theory of \mathbb{C} .

The algebraic K -theory spectrum $K\mathbb{C}$ again represents a cohomology theory.

Remark 1.20. One can construct in analogy to 1.16 the algebraic K -theory spectrum $K\mathbb{C}$ as follows. One starts with the homotopy invariant presheaf

$$Bun_{\nabla, flat} \in \mathbf{Fun}^{const}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{CommMon}(\mathcal{S}))$$

which associates to a manifold M the monoid space of bundles with flat connection. We group-complete and sheafify in order to get a sheaf

$$K(Bun_{\nabla, flat}) \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc, const}(\mathbf{Mf}, \mathbf{CommGrp}(\mathcal{S}))$$

of commutative group spaces (E_∞ -spaces). The sheaf is again represented by a commutative group space which define to be $\Omega^\infty K\mathbb{C}$. If one takes the tensor product of bundles into account, then one even gets the multiplicative structure on $K\mathbb{C}$ as well. In particular, we have the isomorphism

$$KC^0(M) \cong \pi_0(K(Bun_{\nabla, flat})(M))$$

as rings.

Proposition 1.21. *There is a cycle map*

$$\text{cycl} : \overline{Bun}_{\nabla, flat} \rightarrow KC^0 .$$

Proof. This is either an immediate consequence of the construction 1.20 or shown using classifying spaces as follows. Note that $K\mathbb{C}^0(M) \cong [M, \Omega^\infty K\mathbb{C}]$, and that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a natural map $BGL(\mathbb{C}^\delta, n) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty K\mathbb{C}$. If $(V, \nabla) \in \overline{Bun}_{\nabla, flat}(M)$, then the connection induces a reduction of the structure group of V from $GL(\mathbb{C}, \dim(V))$ to $GL(\mathbb{C}^\delta, \dim(V))$ and therefore a unique homotopy class of classifying maps in $[M, BGL(\mathbb{C}^\delta, \dim(V))]$ which induces the class $\text{cycl}(V, \nabla)$ in the natural way. \square

We consider the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum

$$H\mathbb{R}\langle b_0, b_1, b_3, b_5 \dots \rangle ,$$

where b_j is an additive generator in degree j . The de Rahm isomorphism provides an identification

$$H\mathbb{R}\langle b_0, b_1, b_3, \dots \rangle^0(M) \cong H_{\mathbb{R}, dR}^0(M) \oplus H_{\mathbb{R}, dR}^{odd}(M) .$$

Proposition 1.22. *There exists a unique map of spectra $r_{\mathbb{C}} : K\mathbb{C} \rightarrow H\mathbb{R}\langle b_0, b_1, b_3, \dots \rangle$ such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{Bun}_{\nabla, flat}(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{cycl}} & K\mathbb{C}^0(M) \\ \downarrow b_0 \dim \oplus \omega & & \downarrow r_{\mathbb{C}} \\ H_{\mathbb{R}, dR}^0(M) \oplus H_{\mathbb{R}, dR}^{odd}(M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H\mathbb{R}\langle b_0, b_1, b_3, \dots \rangle^0(M) \end{array} .$$

Example 1.23. We have $K\mathbb{C}^0(S^1) \cong \pi_0(K\mathbb{C}) \oplus \pi_1(K\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{C}^*$. Under this identification $\text{cycl}(V(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda)) = 1 \oplus \lambda$. Furthermore,

$$r_{\mathbb{C}}(n \oplus \lambda) = nb_0 - 2 \log |\lambda| b_1 \text{or}_{S^1} \in H\mathbb{R}\langle b_0, b_1, b_3, \dots \rangle^0(S^1) .$$

1.4 Differential cohomology

A bundle with connection $(V, \nabla) \in \overline{Bun}_{\nabla}(M)$ gives rise to a class $\text{cycl}(V) \in KU^0(M)$ and a form

$$\mathbf{ch}(\nabla) := \text{Tr} \exp(bR^{\nabla}) \in Z^0((\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[b, b^{-1}])(M))$$

such that $\mathbf{Rham}(\mathbf{ch}(\nabla)) = \mathbf{ch}(\text{cycl}(V))$. It can happen that both invariants are trivial, but (V, ∇) is non-trivial.

Example 1.24. *We consider the bundle $V(\lambda) \rightarrow S^1$ from Example 1.15. Then $1 = [V(\lambda)]$ and $\mathbf{ch}(\nabla(\lambda)) = 1$.*

Differential K -theory \widehat{KU}^0 can capture a refined invariant. It fits into an exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K^{-1}(M) & \rightarrow & HC[b, b^{-1}]^{-1}(M) & \xrightarrow{a} & \widehat{KU}^0(M) & \rightarrow & \\ \xrightarrow{(I, R)} & & KU^0(M) \times_{HC[b, b^{-1}]^0(M)} & & Z^0((\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[b, b^{-1}])(M)) & \rightarrow & 0 . \\ & & (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[b, b^{-1}]^{-1}(M)) & \xrightarrow{a} & \widehat{KU}^0(M) & \xrightarrow{I} & KU^0(M) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

such that $R \circ a = d$. Furthermore,

$$(KU \wedge M\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})^0(M) \cong \widehat{KU}_{flat}^0(M) := \ker(R : \widehat{KU}^0(M) \rightarrow Z^0((\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[b, b^{-1}])(M))) . \quad (4)$$

We will explain the definition in later talks. We have a refined cycle map

$$\widehat{\text{cycl}} : \overline{Bun}_{\nabla}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{KU}^0(M)$$

such that $R(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(V, \nabla)) = \mathbf{ch}(\nabla)$ and $I(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(V, \nabla)) = \text{cycl}(V)$.

Example 1.25. We have $\widehat{\text{cycl}}(V(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda)) = 1 - a(\log \lambda)_{\text{or}_{S^1}} \in \widehat{KU}^0(S^1)$. Here we can choose any leaf of the logarithm.

Proposition 1.26. *There exists a lift*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \widehat{KU}^0(M) \\ & \nearrow \phi & \downarrow \\ KC^0(M) & \longrightarrow & KU^0(M) \end{array}$$

such that $R(\phi(x)) = \dim(x)$.

Using (4) we can define a map

$$\phi : KC \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}(KU \wedge MC/\mathbb{Z}) \quad (5)$$

which in degree zero cohomology induces $\phi - \dim$. **Work out the details.** It detects torsion elements in $\pi_*(KC)$. By a theorem of Suslin [Sus84] we have

$$\pi_n(KC)_{tors} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & n \text{ odd} \\ 0 & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Is ϕ injective on $\pi_n(KC)_{tors}$? By a theorem of Quillen [Qui76] the unit $S \rightarrow KC$ is injective on the image of the J -homomorphism. By a theorem of Jones-Westbury [JW95],

$$S \rightarrow KC \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}(KU \wedge MR/\mathbb{Z})$$

detects the image of the J -homomorphism.

2 TIC

2.1 Differential algebraic K -theory of a number ring

We consider a number field k and its ring of integers R . Then we have the algebraic K -theory spectrum KR . We first explain real model of KR . The set $\text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of embeddings $R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on which the group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by complex conjugation. We define the graded abelian group

$$A(R) := b_0\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle b_{2i+1, \sigma} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C}) \rangle / \sim,$$

with the relations $b_{2i+1, \bar{\sigma}} = (-1)^i b_{2i+1, \sigma}$. We define a map

$$c : KR \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})} KC \xrightarrow{\oplus r_{\mathbb{C}}} \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})} H(\mathbb{R}b_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}\langle b_{2i+1} \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle) \rightarrow HA(R).$$

The component at σ of the first map is induced by the inclusion σ . The map $r_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined in Proposition 1.22. The third map is the obvious projection on the higher degree part and given by $\bigoplus_{\sigma} x_{\sigma} b_0 \mapsto \frac{1}{|\text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})|} x_{\sigma} b_0$ in degree 0.

Theorem 2.1 (Borel, [Bor74]). *The map c induces an isomorphism in homotopy groups $\pi_i(KR) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \pi_i(HA(R))$ for $i \neq 1$. The map $\pi_1(KR) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \pi_1(HA(R))$ is injective with one-dimensional cokernel generated by $\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})} b_{1,\sigma}$.*

Definition 2.2. *We define the spectrum \overline{KR} as the homotopy cofibre of c .*

One can check using Borel's theorem that

$$\overline{KR} \cong KR \wedge M\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus H\mathbb{R} ,$$

For a spectrum E we let $\mathbf{Sm}(E) \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{Sp})$ be the function spectrum which evaluates as $\mathbf{Sm}(E)(M) = \text{Map}(M, E)$. If C is a chain complex of real vector spaces, then we can form the sheaf of chain complexes $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C$ and the sheaf of spectra $H(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C) \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{Sp})$. Its homotopy type is given by the de Rham Lemma.

Proposition 2.3 (De Rham Lemma). *There exists a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{Rham} : H(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Sm}(HC) .$$

If $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{Ch})$, then we get a sheaf of zero cycles $Z^0(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{Ab})$. If we consider the latter as a presheaf of chain complexes concentrated in degree zero in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{Ch}[W^{-1}])$, then it may not be a sheaf. We let $\tilde{Z}^0(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc}(\mathbf{Mf}^{op}, \mathbf{Ch}[W^{-1}])$ denote its sheafification. We have a map $\tilde{Z}^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C$ which finally induces

$$z : H(\tilde{Z}^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C)) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C) \xrightarrow{\text{Rham}} \mathbf{Sm}(HC) .$$

Under the obvious identifications, the induced map

$$z : \pi_0(\tilde{Z}^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C)(M)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbf{Sm}(HC)(M))$$

maps a cycle $\omega \in Z^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C)(M)$ to its cohomology class $z(\omega) \in HC^0(M)$.

Assume that we have fixed a map of spectra $c : E \rightarrow HC$ and let \bar{E} be its cofibre.

Definition 2.4 (Differential cohomology). *We define the sheaf of differential cohomology spectra*

$$\text{Diff}(E) \in \mathbf{Fun}^{desc}(\mathbf{Mf}, \mathbf{Sp})$$

as the pull-back such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diff}(E) & \xrightarrow{R} & H(\tilde{Z}^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C)) \\ \downarrow I & & \downarrow z \\ \mathbf{Sm}(E) & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathbf{Sm}(HC) \end{array}$$

We define the differential cohomology group of M by

$$\widehat{E}^0(M) := \pi_0(\text{Diff}(E)(M)) .$$

It fits into the natural exact sequence

$$E^{-1}(M) \rightarrow HC^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{E}^0(M) \rightarrow \quad (6)$$

$$\xrightarrow{(I,R)} E^0(M) \times_{HC^0(M)} Z^0((\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C)(M)) \rightarrow 0 . \quad (7)$$

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C)^{-1}(M) \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{W}^0(M) \xrightarrow{I} W^0(M) \rightarrow 0$$

Furthermore, we have a natural identification

$$\overline{E}^0(M) \cong \widehat{E}_{flat}^0(M) := \ker(R) .$$

Work out the argument.

We apply this construction to the map $c : KR \rightarrow HA(R)$ and obtain the differential algebraic K -theory of R .

A sheaf of finitely generated R -modules on M which will be called an R -bundle. If \mathcal{V} is such a sheaf, then $\mathcal{V}_\sigma := \mathcal{V} \otimes_{R,\sigma} \mathcal{C}^\infty$ is a sheaf of sections of a complex vector bundle $(V_\sigma, \nabla_\sigma)$ with flat connection.

Definition 2.5. *A geometry on \mathcal{V} is a collection of metrics $g := (h^{V_\sigma})$ which is invariant under complex conjugation.*

Let

$$\overline{\text{Loc}}_R, \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{geom} : \mathbf{Mf}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$$

be the functors which map a manifold to the monoids of R -bundles without and with geometry. We have a cycle map

$$\text{cycl} : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R \rightarrow KR^0 .$$

We define the characteristic form

$$\omega : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{geom} \rightarrow Z^0(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A(R))$$

such that

$$\omega(g) := b_0 \dim(V) \oplus \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}, \sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})} \check{\mathbf{c}}_{2i+1}(\nabla_\sigma, h^\sigma) b_{2i+1, \sigma} .$$

Proposition 2.6 (B.-Gepner, [BG13]). *There exists an additive natural transformation*

$$\widehat{\text{cycl}} : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{geom} \rightarrow \widehat{KR}^0$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Z^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A) \\ & \nearrow^{(\mathcal{V}, g) \mapsto \omega(g)} & \nearrow^R \\ \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{geom} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\text{cycl}}} & \widehat{KR}^0 \\ & \searrow_{(\mathcal{V}, g) \mapsto \text{cycl}(\mathcal{V})} & \searrow_I \\ & & KR^0 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Note that this transformation is not unique.

Example 2.7. We fix a prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ with $\xi^p = 1$ and let $R \subset \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ be its ring of integer. Then $\xi \in R$. We consider a manifold M with base point m_0 and an identification $\pi_1(M, m_0) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. For example, we can take the total space of the $U(1)$ -bundle $L_p^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with Chern class pc . The representation $[1] \mapsto \xi$ of $\pi_1(M, m_0)$ on R induces an R -bundle \mathcal{V} on M . It carries a canonical parallel geometry g . We therefore get a class

$$\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}, g) \in \widehat{KR}^0(M)$$

such that $R(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}, g)) = b_0$. Calculate $\phi(\text{cycl}(\sigma(\mathcal{V})) \in (KU \wedge MR/\mathbb{Z})^0(L_p^{2n+1})$, where ϕ is as in (5).

2.2 Lott's relation

Let us consider an exact sequence of R -bundles on a smooth manifold M

$$\mathcal{V} : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow 0 .$$

It is known that algebraic K -theory splits exact sequences.

Lemma 2.8. *We have*

$$\text{cycl}(\mathcal{V}_0) + \text{cycl}(\mathcal{V}_2) = \text{cycl}(\mathcal{V}_1)$$

in $KR^0(M)$.

Proof. First reduce to the case bundles which are fibrewise free. Then \mathcal{V}_1 corresponds to a representation of $\pi_1(M, m_0) \rightarrow GL(\dim(V_1), R)$ of the block form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & * \\ 0 & \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Show that one can deform the corresponding classifying map $M \rightarrow BGL(\dim(V_1), R)^+$ to the map given by the representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} .$$

This implies the result. □

We now choose geometries g_i on \mathcal{V}_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and call $g := (g_i)_{i=0,1,2}$ a geometry on \mathcal{V} . If \mathcal{V} splits in a way compatible with the geometry, then we have

$$\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}_0, g_0) + \widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}_2, g_2) = \widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}_1, g_1)$$

in $\widehat{KR}^0(M)$. In general, this does not hold true even on the level of curvatures, i.e. after applying R . To remedy this fact, Bismut-Lott [BL95] introduced a higher torsion form $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A(M)/\text{im}(d)$ which is uniquely characterized by the following properties:

1. $\omega(g_0) + \omega(g_2) - \omega(g_1) = d\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g)$,
2. $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g) = 0$ if \mathcal{V} splits metrically,
3. $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g)$ depends smoothly on (\mathcal{V}, g)
4. $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g)$ is natural w.r.t to pull-back.

Theorem 2.9 (B.-Tamme, [BT12]). *We have the relation*

$$\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}_0, g_0) + \widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}_2, g_2) - \widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}_1, g_1) = a(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g))$$

in $\widehat{KR}^0(M)$.

The proof is a consequence of a generalization of differential algebraic K -theory from number rings to higher-dimensional schemes. It is a first step towards Lott's challenge [Lot00] relating a secondary index for flat bundles with the Becker-Gottlieb transfer. The transfer index conjecture formulated below would then imply Lott's challenge.

As an application of Lott's relation we have the following:

Corollary 2.10. *Assume that we have two exact sequences $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}'$ with the same bundles $\mathcal{V}_i, i = 0, 1, 2$. Then $[\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g) - \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}', g)] \in HA^{-1}(M)$ is a class in the image of the regulator $KR^{-1}(M) \rightarrow HA^{-1}(M)$ and independent of the geometry g .*

2.3 The analytic index

We consider a proper submersion $\pi : W \rightarrow B$ between smooth manifolds. We choose a metric $g^{T^v\pi}$ on the vertical tangent bundle $T^v\pi := \ker(d\pi)$ and a connection $T^h\pi$. One way to do this is to choose a Riemannian metric on the manifold W and define $g^{T^v\pi}$ as the induced metric on the fibres and $T^h\pi$ as the orthogonal complement of the vertical bundle. The triple $(\pi, g^{T^v\pi}, T^h\pi)$ is called a geometric proper submersion.

Let $(\mathcal{V}, g) \in \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{\text{geom}}$ be a geometric bundle. The main goal of this subsection is to define the differential analytic index $\widehat{\text{index}}^{\text{an}}(\mathcal{V}, g) \in \widehat{KR}^0(B)$. It comes as an additive map

$$\widehat{\text{index}}^{\text{an}} : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{\text{geom}}(W) \rightarrow \widehat{KR}^0(B)$$

which is natural under pull-back squares for geometric proper submersions

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the bundle $R^i\pi_*\mathcal{V}$ on B .

Definition 2.11. *We define the analytic index*

$$\text{index}^{\text{an}} : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R(W) \rightarrow KR^0(B)$$

by

$$\text{index}^{\text{an}}(\mathcal{V}) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \text{cycl}(R^i\pi_*\mathcal{V}) \in KR^0(B) .$$

We use fibrewise Hodge theory in order to define a geometry on these bundles which will be used to define the differential refinement of the analytic index.

We let d^v be the vertical part of the differential of the sheaf of complexes $\mathcal{A}_{|W} \otimes_{\sigma, R} \mathcal{V}$. The complex $\pi_*(\mathcal{A}_{|W} \otimes_{R, \sigma} \mathcal{V}, d^v)$ is a complex of sheaves of $\mathcal{C}_{|B}^\infty$ -modules whose cohomology groups are naturally identified with $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{V} \otimes_{R, \sigma} \mathcal{C}_{|B}^\infty$. The metric $g^{T^v \pi}$ together with g induce a fibrewise L^2 -metric on $\pi_*(\mathcal{A}_{|W} \otimes_{R, \sigma} \mathcal{V})$, and by fibrewise Hodge theory we can identify $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{V} \otimes_{R, \sigma} \mathcal{C}_{|B}^\infty$ with the sheaf of fibrewise harmonic forms. In particular we get an induced metric $h_\sigma^{R^i \pi_* \mathcal{V}}$. The collection $(h_\sigma^{R^i \pi_* \mathcal{V}})_{\sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})}$ is a geometry $g^{R^i \pi_* \mathcal{V}}$ on $R^i \pi_{\mathcal{V}}$. We define the bare analytic index by

$$\widehat{\text{index}}_0^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \widehat{\text{cyc1}}(R^i \pi_* \mathcal{V}, g^{R^i \pi_{\mathcal{V}}}) \in \widehat{KR}^0(B).$$

The theory of Bismut-Lott solves the problem of the calculation of the class

$$[R(\widehat{\text{index}}_0^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g))] \in HA(R)^0(B).$$

Theorem 2.12 (Bismut-Lott index theorem [BL95]). *We have*

$$[R(\widehat{\text{index}}_0^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g))] = \int_{W/B} \chi(T^v \pi) \cup [R(\mathcal{V}, g)],$$

where $\chi(T^v \pi)$ is the Euler class of $T^v \pi$.

Example 2.13. We continue example 2.7. The circle bundle $\pi : L_p^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ has a canonical vertical metric and connection. One checks that $R_*^i \pi \mathcal{V} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and therefore $\widehat{\text{index}}_0^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g) = 0$ and $\text{index}^{an}(\mathcal{V}) = 0$. This is consistent with the Bismut-Lott index theorem by the fact that $\chi(T^v \pi) = 0$.

For our purpose we need the local version of the Bismut-Lott index theorem. First of all, the vertical metric and the connection on π induce a connection $\nabla^{T^v \pi}$, a version of the Levi-Civita connection. Therefore we get an Euler form

$$\chi(\nabla^{T^v \pi}) \in (\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}|W}^{\dim(W/B)} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Lambda_{W/B})(W)$$

representing the class $\chi(T^v \pi)$, where $\Lambda_{W/B}$ is the relative orientation bundle. The main ingredient of the local Bismut-Lott index theorem is the higher analytic torsion form

$$\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{V}, g, T^h \pi, g^{T^v \pi}) \in (\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A(R))(B)^{-1}$$

Its detailed definition is complicated, but its main properties are naturality under pull-back alongs maps $B' \rightarrow B$ and the following theorem.

Theorem 2.14 (local Bismut-Lott index theorem [BL95]). *We have the equality*

$$R(\widehat{\text{index}}_0^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g)) = \int_{W/B} \chi(\nabla^{T^v \pi}) \wedge R(\mathcal{V}, g) + a(\mathcal{T}).$$

Definition 2.15. We define the analytic index $\widehat{\text{index}}^{an} : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{geom}(W) \rightarrow \widehat{KR}^0(B)$ by

$$\widehat{\text{index}}^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g) = \text{index}_0^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g) - a(\mathcal{T}) .$$

Example 2.16. We continue example 2.13. We get

$$\widehat{\text{index}}^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g) = -a(\mathcal{T}) .$$

In this case the higher analytic torsion form has been calculated by Bismut-Lott [BL95] explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} = & b_0 \mathcal{T}_0 + \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})} \frac{2}{|\text{Stab}(\sigma)|} \left(\sum_{j \text{ even}} (-1)^{j/2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^j} \frac{(2j+1)!}{2^{2j}(j!)^2} \text{Re}(\text{Li}_{j+1}(\sigma(\xi))) p^j \omega^j b_{2j+1, \sigma} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j \text{ odd}} (-1)^{(j-1)/2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^j} \frac{(2j+1)!}{2^{2j}(j!)^2} \text{Im}(\text{Li}_{j+1}(\sigma(\xi))) p^j \omega^j b_{2j+1, \sigma} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

2.4 The topological index

We continue to consider a geometric proper submersion $(\pi : W \rightarrow B, g^{T^v \pi}, T^h \pi)$. The main goal of this subsection is to describe a differential Becker-Gottlieb transfer

$$\widehat{\text{tr}} : \widehat{KR}^0(W) \rightarrow \widehat{KR}^0(B) .$$

It will be used in the definition of the topological index.

Definition 2.17. We define the topological index

$$\widehat{\text{index}}^{top} : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{geom}(W) \rightarrow \widehat{KR}^0(B)$$

by

$$\widehat{\text{index}}^{top}(\mathcal{V}, g) := \widehat{\text{tr}}(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}, g)) .$$

Consider a compact manifold M . We can choose an embedding $M \hookrightarrow S^n \setminus \{\infty\}$ whose normal bundle is denoted by ν . We get a canonical map

$$S^n \rightarrow S^n /_h (S^n \setminus M) \cong M^\nu ,$$

where $/_h$ denotes the homotopy quotient and M^ν is the Thom space of ν . The inclusion $\nu \hookrightarrow TM \oplus \nu \cong M \times \mathbb{R}^n$ induces a map $M^\nu \rightarrow \Sigma_+^n M$. The composition of these two maps gives after stabilization a map of spectra $S \rightarrow \Sigma_+^\infty M$. Applying this construction fibrewise to π we get the transfer map

$$\text{tr} : \Sigma_+^\infty B \rightarrow \Sigma_+^\infty W$$

which induces a Becker-Gottlieb transfer [BG75] in every cohomology theory, in particular

$$\text{tr} : KR^0(W) \rightarrow KR^0(B) .$$

Definition 2.18. We define the topological index $\text{index}^{top} : \overline{\text{Loc}}_R \rightarrow KR^0(B)$ by

$$\text{index}^{top}(\mathcal{V}) := \text{tr}(\text{cycl}(\mathcal{V})) .$$

Theorem 2.19 (Dwyer-Weiss-Williams index theorem [DWW03]). *We have*

$$\text{index}^{an} = \text{index}^{top} .$$

One can check that the Dwyer-Weiss-Williams index theorem is consistent with the Bismut-Lott index theorem. This follows from

$$\text{tr}([R(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}, g))]) = \int_{W/B} \chi(T^v\pi) \cup [R(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}, g))] .$$

Let $c : E \rightarrow HC$ and \overline{E} be as above.

Theorem 2.20 (B.-Gepner [BG13]). *There exists a natural differential refinement of the Becker-Gottlieb transfer*

$$\hat{\text{tr}} : \widehat{E}^0(W) \rightarrow \widehat{E}^0(B)$$

such that

$$R(\hat{\text{tr}}(x)) = \int_{W/B} \chi(\nabla^{T^v\pi}) \wedge R(x) , \quad I(\hat{\text{tr}}(x)) = \text{tr}(I(x))$$

for all $x \in \widehat{E}^0(W)$. Furthermore, its restriction to \widehat{E}_{flat}^0 coincides with tr under the identification $\widehat{E}_{flat}^0 \cong \overline{E}^0$.

Example 2.21. Assume that there exists a nowhere vanishing vertical vector field $X \in C^\infty(W, T^v\pi)$. Then

$$\hat{\text{tr}}(x) = a\left(\int_{W/B} \Psi_X \wedge R(x)\right) ,$$

where $\Psi_X \in (A^{\dim(W/B)-1} \otimes \Lambda_{W/B})(W)$ is the Mathai-Quillen form of X such that $d\Psi_X = \chi(\nabla^{T^v\pi})$.

Example 2.22. We continue the example 2.16 and calculate $\widehat{\text{index}}^{top}(\mathcal{V}, g)$. Since $T^v\pi$ is trivialized we have $\text{tr} = 0$. Further note that $R(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}, g)) = b_0$. Example 2.21 and the fact that $\int_{W/B} \Psi_X \wedge b_0 = 0$ now implies that $\hat{\text{tr}}(\widehat{\text{cycl}}(\mathcal{V}, g)) = \widehat{\text{index}}^{top}(\mathcal{V}, g) = 0$.

2.5 The transfer index conjecture

We consider a geometric proper submersion $(\pi : W \rightarrow B, g^{T^v\pi}, T^h\pi)$ and a geometric R -module $(\mathcal{V}, g) \in \overline{\text{Loc}}_R^{geom}(W)$.

Conjecture 2.23 (Transfer index conjecture). *We have the equality*

$$\widehat{\text{index}}^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g) = \widehat{\text{index}}^{top}(\mathcal{V}, g) .$$

One checks in a straightforward manner that

$$R(\widehat{\text{index}}^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g)) = R(\widehat{\text{index}}^{top}(\mathcal{V}, g))$$

is equivalent to the local Bismut-Lott index theorem, and that

$$I(\widehat{\text{index}}^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g)) = I(\widehat{\text{index}}^{top}(\mathcal{V}, g))$$

is equivalent to the Dwyer-Weiss-Williams index theorem. Hence, apriori in view of (6)

$$\widehat{\text{index}}^{an}(\mathcal{V}, g) - \widehat{\text{index}}^{top}(\mathcal{V}, g) \in HA(R)^{-1}(M)/\text{im}(KR^{-1}(M) \rightarrow HA(R)^{-1}(M)) .$$

Example 2.24. We continue example 2.22. The TIC predicts that $a(\mathcal{T}) = 0$. This is equivalent the assertion that there exists an element $x \in KR^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$ such that $c(x) = [\mathcal{T}]$. There exists an identification (use multiplicative structure of KR and Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence)

$$KR^{-1}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong K_*(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[c]/(c^{n+1}) .$$

In this identification we can write

$$x = \sum_{j \geq 0} x_{2j+1} \otimes c^j ,$$

where $x_j \in K_{2j+1}(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are uniquely determined. Therefore the TIC predicts:

Fact 2.25. *For every $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $x_j \in K_{2j+1}(R) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that for every $\sigma \in \text{Spec}(R)(\mathbb{C})$ w have*

$$r_{\text{Borel}, \sigma}(x_j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (-1)^{j/2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^j} \frac{(2j+1)!}{2^{2j}(j!)^2} \text{Re}(\text{Li}_{j+1}(\sigma(\xi))) p^j & j \text{ even} \\ (-1)^{(j-1)/2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^j} \frac{(2j+1)!}{2^{2j}(j!)^2} \text{Im}(\text{Li}_{j+1}(\sigma(\xi))) p^j & j \text{ odd} \end{array} \right\}$$

This fact is a true statement, since the existence of such elements has been shown by Beilinson [Bei86].

3 Problems

Problem 3.1. Let $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ be the tautological line bundle and ∇ the connection on L on the tautological line bundle as in the lecture. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$ be the unique harmonic form satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} \omega = 1$. Show that the curvature of ∇ satisfies

$$R^\nabla = 2\pi i \omega.$$

Problem 3.2. We consider $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and define the action of \mathbb{Z} on the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $n(t, z) \mapsto (t + n, \lambda^n z)$. This action preserves the trivial connection ∇^{triv} . We let $V(\lambda) \rightarrow S^1$ be the quotient with the induced flat connection $\nabla(\lambda)$. Show that

$$\omega(\nabla(\lambda)) = -2 \log |\lambda| \text{or}_{S^1} \in H_{dR}^{\text{odd}}(S^1).$$

Problem 3.3. Show that one can identify $KU^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}[z]/(z^{n+1})$ as rings, where $z := \text{cycl}(L) - 1$.

Problem 3.4. Let M be a manifold, I the interval $[0, 1]$ and $i_0, i_1: M \hookrightarrow I \times M$ be the inclusions at the endpoints. Prove the following homotopy formula: If $\hat{x} \in \widehat{KU}^0(I \times M)$, then

$$i_0^*(\hat{x}) - i_1^*(\hat{x}) = a \left(\int_{I \times M/M} R(\hat{x}) \right)$$

where $\int_{I \times M/M}: \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}](I \times M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{R}[b, b^{-1}](M)[-1]$ is given by integration along the interval I .

Problem 3.5. Let $(V(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda))$ be as in Problem 3.2. Calculate $\widehat{KU}^0(S^1)$ and characterize the element $\widehat{\text{cycl}}(V(\lambda), \nabla(\lambda))$.

4 Problems II

Problem 4.1. Show the de Rham Lemma $H(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Sm}(HC)$. Hint: Construct equivalences $\underline{HC} \xrightarrow{\sim} H(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C)$ and $\underline{HC} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Sm}(HC)$

Problem 4.2. Show that $Z^n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \sigma^{\geq n} \mathcal{A}$ represents the sheafification of $Z^n(\mathcal{A})$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Mf}, \mathbf{Ch}[W^{-1}])$.

Problem 4.3. Verify the basic exact sequences of differential cohomology.

Problem 4.4. Show that algebraic K -theory splits exact sequences of bundles (give details for the proof of Lemma 2.8).

Problem 4.5. Calculate the class predicted in Corollary 2.10 in the case of complexes on S^1

$$\mathcal{V} : 0 \rightarrow S^1 \times R \xrightarrow{\text{id}} S^1 \times R \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 ,$$

$$\mathcal{V}' : 0 \rightarrow S^1 \times R \xrightarrow{\lambda} S^1 \times R \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\lambda \in R^*$ is a unit.

Problem 4.6. Show that the Becker-Gottlieb transfer satisfies $\mathbf{tr} = 0$ if $T^v \pi$ admits a nowhere vanishing section.

References

- [Bei86] A.A. Beilinson. Higher regulators of modular curves. In *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*, volume 55 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 1–34. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [BG75] J.C. Becker and D.H. Gottlieb. The transfer map and fiber bundles. *Topology*, 14:1–12, 1975.
- [BG13] U. Bunke and D. Gepner. Differential function spectra, the differential Becker-Gottlieb transfer, and applications to differential algebraic K-theory. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2013.
- [BL95] J.-M. Bismut and J. Lott. Flat vector bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(2):291–363, 1995.
- [Bor74] A. Borel. Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 7:235–272 (1975), 1974.
- [BT12] U. Bunke and G. Tamme. Regulators and cycle maps in higher-dimensional differential algebraic K-theory. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2012.
- [DWW03] W. Dwyer, M. Weiss, and B. Williams. A parametrized index theorem for the algebraic K-theory Euler class. *Acta Math.*, 190(1):1–104, 2003.
- [JW95] J. D. S. Jones and B. W. Westbury. Algebraic K-theory, homology spheres, and the η -invariant. *Topology*, 34(4):929–957, 1995.
- [Lot00] John Lott. Secondary analytic indices. In *Regulators in analysis, geometry and number theory*, volume 171 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 231–293. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.
- [Qui76] D. Quillen. Letter from Quillen to Milnor on $\text{Im}(\pi_i 0 \rightarrow \pi_i^s \rightarrow K_i \mathbf{Z})$. In *Algebraic K-theory (Proc. Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1976)*, pages 182–188. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 551. Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [Sus84] Andrei A. Suslin. On the K-theory of local fields. In *Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983)*, volume 34, pages 301–318, 1984.