## GREEN FIELDS WITH TORSION

## JUAN DIEGO CAYCEDO

Let  $\mu$  denote the group of roots of unity. Let  $\nu$  be a divisible subgroup of  $\mu$ .

Let L be the expansion of the language of rings by a unary predicate G. Let  $C_{\nu}$  be the class of L-structures  $(K, +, \cdot, 0, 1, G)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $(K, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0,
- (ii) G is a divisible subgroup of  $(K^{\times}, \cdot)$ ,
- (iii) the group of torsion elements of G is isomorphic to  $\nu$ ,
- (iv) for all  $n \ge 1$  and all  $y \in (K^{\times})^n$ , the value  $\delta(y) := 2 \operatorname{tr.d}(y) \operatorname{mult.rk}(y)$  is non-negative.

We shall show below that  $C_0$  is an elementary class. This answers a question left open in [Poi01]; there the same result is proved assuming the *Conjecture on Intersections with Tori (CIT)* (cf. [Poi01, Corollaire 3.5]) and unconditionally only in the case where  $\nu$  is the trivial group. The idea of replacing the use of the CIT by a combination of the "Weak CIT" and "Manin-Mumford", Facts 0.2 and 0.3 below, comes from [Zil04].

In the definition and facts below, K denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

**Definition 0.1.** Let V and W be subvarieties of  $(K^{\times})^n$  such that  $V \cap W$  is nonempty and let S be an irreducible component S of  $V \cap W$ .

If

$$\dim S > \dim V + \dim W - n$$

then S is said to be an *atypical component of the intersection of* V *and* W. Otherwise, that is if

$$\dim S = \dim V + \dim W - n$$

S is said to be a typical component of the intersection of V and W.

Let T be a coset of an algebraic subgroup of  $(K^{\times})^n$  with  $S \subset T$ . If

$$\dim S > \dim V \cap T + \dim W \cap T - \dim T$$

then S is said to be an *atypical component of the intersection of* V *and* W *with respect to* T. Otherwise, that is if

 $\dim S = \dim V \cap T + \dim W \cap T - \dim T,$ 

S is said to be a typical component of the intersection of V and W with respect to T.

Date: December 1, 2010.

**Fact 0.2** ("Weak CIT", [Zil02]). Let  $n \ge 1$ . For every algebraic subvariety W of  $(K^{\times})^n$ , there exist proper algebraic subgroups  $T_1, \ldots, T_s$  of  $(K^{\times})^n$  with the following property:

for any coset  $\alpha T$  of a proper algebraic subgroup T of  $(K^{\times})^n$ , if S is an atypical component of the intersection of W and  $\alpha T$  then there exist  $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$  and  $\alpha' \in (K^{\times})^n$  such that  $S \subset \alpha' T_i$  and S is a typical component of the intersection of W and  $\alpha T$  with respect to  $\alpha' T_i$ .

**Fact 0.3** ("Manin-Mumford"). For every proper algebraic subvariety W of  $(K^{\times})^n$ , there exist proper algebraic subgroups  $H_1, \ldots, H_r$  of  $(K^{\times})^n$  and  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \mu$  such that

$$W \cap \mu^n = \bigcup_{j=1}^r \gamma_j (H_j \cap \mu^n).$$

**Proposition 0.4.** The class  $C_{\nu}$  is elementary.

*Proof.* It is clear that conditions (i) and (ii) can be expressed by a set *L*-sentences. It is easy to see that condition (iii) can be expressed by a set of sentences requiring that *G* has non-trivial *p*-torsion precisely for those primes *p* for which  $\nu$  has non-trivial *p*-torsion.

We shall now see that, modulo (i),(ii),(iii), condition (iv) is equivalent to the following: for each  $n \ge 1$ , and each algebraic subvariety W of  $(K^{\times})^n$  defined and irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $< \frac{n}{2}$ ,

$$\forall y \left( (y \in W \land y \in G^n \land y \notin W^*) \to \bigvee_{\substack{1 \le i \le s}} \bigvee_{\substack{1 \le j \le r_i \\ H_{ij} \text{ proper}}} y^{M^i} \in \mu_{ij} H_{ij} \right)$$

where

- $T_1, \ldots, T_s$  are the proper algebraic subgroups provided by Fact 0.2 for W, and for  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ ,  $M^i$  is an  $n_i \times n$ -matrix with integer entries of rank  $n_i$  such that  $T_i$  is defined by the system of equations  $y^{M^i} = 1$ .
- for each  $i = 1, \ldots, s, \gamma_{i1}, \ldots, \gamma_{ir_i}$  and  $H_{i1}, \ldots, H_{ir_i}$  are as provided by Fact 0.3 for the variety  $W_i$ , which by definition is the Q-Zariski closure the set  $W^{M^i}$ ; and for each  $i, j, \mu_{ij}$  is the set of all roots of unity of the same order as  $\gamma_{ij}$ .

Note that each set  $\mu_{ij}H_{ij}$  is an algebraic subgroup of  $(K^{\times})^n$  of the same dimension as  $H_{ij}$ ; in particular, it is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

•  $W^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} W^{*i}$  and  $W^{*i}$  is the Q-Zariski closure of the set

 $\{b \in W : \dim W \cap bT_i > \dim W - \dim W_i\}.$ 

Note that the above set is the union of the non-generic (i.e. not of minimal dimension) fibres inside W of the map given by  $y \mapsto y^{M^i}$ . By a standard fact, this set is contained in a proper closed subset of W. Therefore  $W^* \subsetneq W$ .

Assume (K, G) satisfies the sentences above. To see that (K, G) must then also satisfy (iv), suppose towards a contradiction that  $b \in (K^{\times})^n$  is such that  $\delta(b) < 0$ . It is easy to see that we may assume b to be in  $G^n$  and multiplicatively independent. Let W be the algebraic locus of b over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then, since  $\delta(b) < 0$ , we have dim  $W < \frac{n}{2}$ . Thus, one of the above sentences corresponds to W. If the disjunction in the sentence is non-empty then we get a multiplicative dependence on b, hence a contradiction. If the disjunction is empty, then the sentence says that the set  $(W \setminus W^*) \cap G^n$  is empty, but our b is in this set, thus also a contradiction. This proves that (K, G) satisfies (iv).

Conversely, assume that (K, G) satisfies (iv) and let us see that the above sentences hold in (K, G). Let  $n \ge 1$  and let W be an algebraic subvariety of  $(K^{\times})^n$  defined and irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension  $< \frac{n}{2}$ . Suppose b is in the set  $(W \setminus W^*) \cap G^n$ . Since tr.  $d(b) \le \dim W < n/2$  and by assumption  $\delta(b) \ge 0$ , the tuple b must be multiplicatively dependent. Thus, let T be a proper algebraic subgroup of  $(K^{\times})^n$ containing b of dimension mult.  $\operatorname{rk}(b)$ .

Let S be an irreducible component of  $W \cap T$  containing b. Then S is atypical: to see this note that, on the one hand, S is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$  and so dim  $S \geq$ tr.  $d(b) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  mult.  $\operatorname{rk}(b) = \frac{1}{2} \dim T$  and, on the other hand, since dim  $W < \frac{n}{2}$ , we have dim  $W + \dim T - n < \dim T - \frac{n}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim T$ . Therefore, we can find  $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$  and  $\alpha \in (K^{\times})^n$  such that  $S \subset \alpha T_i$  and S is a typical component of the intersection of W and T with respect to  $\alpha T_i$ .

Since S is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$ , it has  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$  rational points. Hence we may assume  $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})^n$ . Let us now look at the coefficients of the equations defining the coset  $\alpha T_i$ , namely  $\beta := \alpha^{M^i} \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}})^{n_i}$ . Also,  $\beta = b^{M^i} \in G^{n_i}$ . Thus, by (iv),  $\beta \in \mu^{n_i}$ . We can now conclude that  $b^{M^i} \in W_i \cap \mu^{n_i} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r_i} \gamma_{ij}(H_{ij} \cap \mu^{n_i})$ . Therefore we can find  $j \in \{1, \ldots, r_i\}$  such that  $b^{M^i} \in \gamma_{ij}H_{ij} \subset \mu_{ij}H_{ij}$ .

It now suffices to show that  $H_{ij}$  is a proper subgroup of  $(K^{\times})^{n_i}$ . This follows from the following calculation showing that dim  $W_i < n_i$ : First, from the atypicality of S we have

$$\dim S > \dim W + \dim T - n$$

And from the typicality of S with respect to  $\alpha T_i$  we have

 $\dim S = \dim W \cap \alpha T_i + \dim T \cap \alpha T_i - \dim \alpha T_i.$ 

Combining the last two expressions we get

 $\dim W + \dim T - n < \dim W \cap \alpha T_i + \dim T \cap \alpha T_i - \dim \alpha T_i.$ 

Reorganizing terms and noting that T = bT and  $\alpha T_i = bT_i$ ,

$$\dim W - \dim W \cap bT_i < n - \dim bT_i + \dim bT \cap bT_i - \dim bT$$

 $= n - \dim T_i + \dim T \cap bT_i - \dim T$ 

$$\leq n - \dim T_i = n_i.$$

Since b is not in  $W^*$ , we know dim  $W_i = \dim W - \dim W \cap bT_i$ . Therefore dim  $W_i < n_i$ .

## References

- [Poi01] Bruno Poizat. L'égalité au cube. J. Symbolic Logic, 66(4):1647-1676, 2001.
- [Zil02] Boris Zilber. Exponential sums equations and the Schanuel conjecture. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 65(1):27–44, 2002.
- [Zil04] Boris Zilber. Raising to powers revisited. 2004. Preprint.