## Universität Freiburg, Abteilung für Mathematische Logik

Übung zur Vorlesung Modelltheorie 2, ss2015 Prof. Dr. Martin Ziegler Dr. Mohsen Khani

## Blatt 8, Elimination der Imaginäre

**Aufgabe 1.** Describe what is meant by acl(a/E) and  $dcl^{eq}(a)$ .

The Pillay-Lascar Theorem says "if T is strongly minimal and  $\operatorname{acl}(\emptyset)$  is infinite, then T has weak elimination of imaginaries". The next Aufgabe is a counterexample to the requirements and the statement.

**Aufgabe 2.** Define a relation R over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by

$$R(a, b, c, d) \Leftrightarrow a + b + c + d = 0.$$

Notice that  $(\mathbb{Q}, R)$  is equivalent to  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$  (no zero in the language), and R determines the affine lines over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Show that  $(\mathbb{Q}, R)$  is strongly minimal, but it does not have weak elimination of imaginaries.

We saw in the lecture that if T is a totally transcendental theory in which each global type has a canonical base in  $\mathfrak{C}$ , then T has weak elimination of imaginaries. The proof went as follows: if e = c/E is imaginary and  $\mathrm{RM}(c/E) = \alpha$ , then we let  $\mathbb P$  be the global type with  $\mathrm{RM} = \alpha$  containing the formula xEc. This type has a canonical base d, and d is the canonical parameter we are looking for. It was left as an exercise to prove that d is finite. This assumption is justified in Aufgaben 3,4,5.

**Aufgabe 3.** Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a definable class and D be a set such that for each automorphism  $\alpha$ 

$$\underbrace{\alpha(D) = D}_{\text{pointwise}} \Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\alpha(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}}_{\text{setwise}}$$

show that D contains a canonical parameter of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Aufgabe 4.** Let T be totally transcendental and  $\mathbb{P}$  be a global type. Show that  $\mathbb{P}$  has a finite canonical base in  $\mathfrak{C}^{eq}$ .

**Aufgabe 5.** Using the previous two Aufgaben, show that if  $\mathbb{P}$  has a canonical base  $D \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ , then it has a finite base  $d \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ .

We identified the canonical base for global types in  $ACF_p$  as follows: if  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{x})$  is a global type, then it is given by an irreducible variety over  $\mathfrak{C}^n$  via  $\operatorname{tp}(\bar{c}/\mathfrak{C})$  (inaccurate) where  $\bar{c}$  is the generic point of V. Also, we can say that  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{x})$  is the type whose Morley rank is equal to the Morley rank of V and " $\bar{x} \in V$ "  $\in \mathbb{P}(\bar{x})$ . Let I be the corresponding ideal of V. Then  $\operatorname{cb}(\mathbb{P}) = [V] = [I]$ . Also  $[I] = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [I_k]$  where  $I_k = \{p \in I | \deg p \geq k\}$  is considered as a sub- $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of  $\mathfrak{C}^{N(k)}$ , where N(k) is the number of all monomials of  $\operatorname{deg} \leq k$  in  $X_1, \ldots X_n$ , and we have  $I = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k$ . We are now supposed to apply Andre Weil's theorem over "the field of definition of variety" to prove:

**Aufgabe 6.** Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a field and  $U \leq \mathfrak{C}^n$  (as vector spaces). Then [U] exists in  $\mathfrak{C}$  (for example if  $U = \mathfrak{C}.(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  then  $[U] = (a_2/a_1, \ldots, a_n/a_1)$ .

Let T be stable. We proved that  $p \in S(B)$  does not fork over  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if p has a good definition over  $\operatorname{acl}^{eq}(A)$ . In the proof of  $\Leftarrow$  we said if p has a good definition over  $\operatorname{acl}^{eq}(A)$ , then it defines a a global type  $\mathbb{P}$  extending p, which does not fork over  $\operatorname{acl}^{eq}(A)$ , and hence over A. The last claim is to be justified below:

## Aufgabe 7.

- 1. If  $b_0, b_1 \dots$  is an indiscernible sequence over A, then it is indiscernible over acl(A).
- 2. (Hence:) If  $\phi(x, b)$  divides over A, then it divides over  $\operatorname{acl}(A)$ .
- 3. Give another proof for item 2, using transitivity.

The other direction of the proof went as follows: if p does not fork over A, then it has a global non-forking extension  $\mathbb{P}$ . If M is a model containing A, then  $\mathbb{P}$  does not fork over M. Since T is stable,  $\mathbb{P}$  is defined over M, and hence  $cb(\mathbb{P}) \in M^{eq}$ . Since M is arbitrary,  $cb(\mathbb{P}) \in acl(A)$ . The last sentence is justified below:

## Aufgabe 8. Show that

$$\operatorname{acl}(A) = \bigcap_{M \text{model containing } A} M.$$