## Model Theory 2, Session 9

**Definition 1.**  $p \in S_n(B)$  is definable/*C* if for each  $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in L$  there exists a formula  $\psi_{\phi}(\bar{y})$  in L(C) such that

$$\forall \bar{b} \quad \left[ \phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \in p \Leftrightarrow \models \psi_{\phi}(\bar{b}) \right]$$

**Example 1.** If T is strongly minimal, then each  $p \in S(A)$  is definable.

Let us establish what we require for working this example out. Our T is arbitrary but complete.

**Lemma 1.** If  $b \in \operatorname{acl}(aA)$  then

$$\operatorname{RM}(b/A) \le \operatorname{RM}(a/A).$$

So

$$\operatorname{acl}(a) = \operatorname{acl}(b) \Rightarrow \operatorname{RM}(a) = \operatorname{RM}(b).$$

This was proved last session, modulo a small error which is to be settled in our tutorial session.

**Lemma 2.** Assuming that T is strongly minimal, we have

$$\operatorname{RM}(a_1, \ldots, a_n/B) = \dim(a_1, \ldots, a_n/B).$$

*Proof.* By the above lemma, we assume that  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are algebraically independent over B. Let  $\psi \in \operatorname{tp}(a_1, \ldots, a_n/B)$ . We first show that  $\operatorname{RM}(\psi) \ge n$ , and then show that  $\operatorname{RM}(tp(a_1, \ldots, a_n/B))$  is to n. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$RM(a_1,\ldots,a_n/Ba_1) = n - 1.$$

This means that  $\operatorname{rank}(\chi) \ge n-1$ , where  $\chi$  is the following formula:

$$(x_1 = a_1) \wedge \psi(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}).$$

At the same time, all conjugates of  $\chi$  over B are disjoint with rank  $\geq n-1$ . So  $\operatorname{RM}(\psi) \geq n$ . Let  $B' \supset B$ .

**Reminder 1.** If T is strongly minimal and  $M \models T$  and A, B are independent subsets of M and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a bijection, then f is an elementary map:

 $\forall a_1 \dots a_n \in A \quad \operatorname{tp}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \operatorname{tp}(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)).$ 

In particular if  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  are independent and  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  are independent, then

$$\operatorname{tp}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=\operatorname{tp}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$$

There is only one type  $p \in S_n(B')$  realised by a B'-independent sequence of elements. This implies that rank $(\mathfrak{C}^n) \leq n$ .

**Corollary 1.** Assuming that T is strongly minimal and  $\psi$  a formula over B, we have

$$\mathrm{RM}(\psi) = \max\{\dim(\bar{a}/B) | \mathfrak{C} \models \psi(\bar{a})\}.$$

In strongly minimal theories, Morley rank is definable.

**Corollary 2.** Suppose that T is strongly minimal. For each k, The following is a definable class:

$$\{\overline{b} | \operatorname{RM} \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, \overline{b}) = k\}.$$

*Proof.* By induction on n we will show that "RM $(\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \geq k$ " for  $|\bar{x}| = n$  is expressed in  $\operatorname{tp}(\bar{b})$  (it is an elementary property of  $\bar{b}$ ). A property P being an elementary property of b means that there is formula  $\phi$  such that  $b \models \phi$  and for every a if  $a \models \phi$  then a also has this property; in other words  $\phi(\mathfrak{C})$  is the set of elements with that property.

For n = 1 we have

$$\operatorname{RM} \psi(x_1, b) \ge 1 \Leftrightarrow \exists_{\operatorname{infinitely many}} x_1 \quad \psi(x_1, b).$$

The above is an elementary property of  $\bar{b}$  since T is strongly minimal. (Induction step) By the previous corollary,

verify that the above is expressible by  $tp(\bar{b})$  (the first one by the induction hypothesis, the second one by the fact that  $RM(\psi(a_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \bar{b}) \ge k - 1$  can be expressed by a formula  $\theta(a_1, \bar{b})$  and the condition is equivalent to there are infinitely many  $x_1$  such that  $\theta(x_1, b)$ .

Let  $p \in S(A)$  have  $\operatorname{RM}(p) = \alpha$  and  $\deg(p) = d$ . Then there is  $\phi \in p$  such that  $\operatorname{RM} \phi = \alpha$  and  $\deg \phi = d$ . we have

$$\forall \psi \quad \psi \in p \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{RM}(\phi \land \neg \psi) < \alpha.$$

So

$$p = \{\psi | \psi \in L(A), \operatorname{RM}(\phi \land \neg \psi) < \alpha\}.$$

**Back to example 1** let  $\phi_0 \in p$  be such that  $(\operatorname{RM} \phi, \deg \phi)$  is minimal.

$$\psi(x,a) \in p \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{RM}(\phi_0(x) \land \neg \psi(x,a)) < k$$

By corollary 2 this is a property expressed in  $tp(\bar{a})$ . We proved in the previous session that:

**Reminder 2.** Let  $p \in S(M)$  be a definable type. Then for every  $B \supseteq M$ , p has a unique extension  $q \in S(B)$  definable over M. q is the unique heir of p and

$$q = \{\phi(x,\bar{b}) | \phi(x,\bar{y}) \in L, \bar{b} \in B, \mathfrak{C} \models d_p x \phi(x,\bar{b}) \}.$$

**Proposition 1.** Let T be strongly minimal,  $M \models T$  and assume that B includes M. Then

 $[\operatorname{tp}(a/B) \text{ is an heir of } \operatorname{tp}(a/M)] \Leftrightarrow [\operatorname{RM}(a/B) = \operatorname{RM}(a/M)].$ 

**Remark 1.** We have seen that if T is strongly minimal, then

$$\operatorname{RM}(a/B) = \operatorname{RM}(a/M) \Leftrightarrow a \underset{M}{\overset{acl}{\downarrow}} B$$

Since this notion is symmetric, if T is strongly minimal then (heir=coheir). This is the case also in stable theories (and we will see this).

The mentioned fact about strongly minimal theories implies that

$$T \text{ strongly minimal} \quad M \models T \quad M \subseteq B \quad p \in S(M)$$
$$\Rightarrow$$
$$\exists_{unique} q \supseteq p \quad \text{RM}(q) = \text{RM}(p).$$

The above is the case for all totally transcendental theories.

proof of Proposition 1. assume that  $\operatorname{RM}(\operatorname{tp}(a/M)) = k$ . Let  $\phi \in \operatorname{tp}(a/M)$  be such tha  $(\operatorname{RM}(\phi), \deg \phi) = (\operatorname{RM} p, \deg p)$ . By the previous lemma, the following is the unique heir of p on B:

$$\{\psi(x)|\psi \in L(B), \operatorname{RM}(\phi_0 \land \neg \psi(x, a)) < k\}.$$

The above set is contained in all q with  $q \in S(B)$ ,  $p \subseteq q$ , RM(q) = k.

## Stability

In our Model theory 1 course, we defined a theory to be stable if it is  $\kappa$ -stable for some  $\kappa$ . We defined a theory to be  $\kappa$ -stable if whenever  $|A| = \kappa$  we have  $|S(A)| = \kappa$ . In this section we are going to provide an alternative formulation for stability based on a property satisfied by a formula.

**Definition 2.** For  $\phi(x, y)$  a formula, we define

 $S_{\phi}(B) :=$  maximal consistet set of formulae of the form  $\phi(x, b)$  or  $\neg \phi(x, b), b \in B$ 

## Definition 3.

1.  $\phi$  is **stable** if there exists a cardinal  $\lambda$  such that

$$|B| \le \lambda \Rightarrow |S_{\phi}(B)| \le \lambda.$$

- 2. T is **stable** if all its formulae are.
- 3.  $\phi$  has the **order property** (OP) if there are  $(a_i)_{i \in \omega}$  and  $(b_i)_{i \in \omega}$  with

i < j if and only if  $\models \phi(a_i, b_j);$ 

equivalently (to be proven) if there are  $(a_i)_{i \in \omega}$  and  $(b_i)_{i \in \omega}$  with

i > j if and only if  $\models \phi(a_i, b_j)$ .

4.  $\phi$  has the **binary tree property** (BTP) if there exists a binary tree  $(b_s|s \in 2^{<\omega})$  of parameters  $b_s$  each of whose branches is consistent; accurately: for each  $\sigma \in 2^{\omega}$  the following set is consistent.

$$\{\phi^{\sigma(n)}(x,b_{\sigma\restriction n})|n<\omega\}.$$

**Theorem 1.** The following are equivalent for a formula  $\phi$ .

- a.  $\phi$  is stable.
- b. B infinite  $\Rightarrow |S_{\phi}(B)| \le |B|$ .
- c.  $\phi$  does not have OP.
- d.  $\phi$  does not have BTP.

*Proof.*  $a \Rightarrow d$ . Let  $\mu$  be minimal with  $2^{\mu} > \lambda$ . The tree  $I = 2^{<\mu}$  has cardinality  $\leq \lambda$ . If  $\phi$  has BTP, by compactness there is a tree of parameters indexed by I to witness this. By completing each branch to a  $\phi$ -type, we will see that over the parameter set  $B = \{b_s | s \in I\}$ , we have  $|B| \leq \lambda < 2^{\mu}$ , and  $|S_{\phi}(B)| \geq 2^{\mu}$ .  $d \Rightarrow c$ . Let  $I = 2^{<\omega}$ . There is a linear order on I such that

$$\forall \sigma \in I \quad \forall n \in \omega \quad \sigma < \sigma \upharpoonright n \Leftrightarrow \sigma(n) = 1.$$

By standard lemma, we can find  $(a_i b_i)_{i \in I}$  such that

$$i < j \Leftrightarrow \phi(a_i, b_j).$$

Now  $(\phi(x, b_s)|s \in 2^{<\omega})$  witnesses BTP.  $c \Rightarrow b$  (next session)