

# A model-theoretic proof for $P \neq NP$ over all infinite abelian groups

Mihai Prunescu \*

## Abstract

We give a model-theoretic proof of the fact that for all infinite Abelian groups  $P \neq NP$  in the sense of binary nondeterminism. This result has been announced 1994 by Christine Gaßner.

**Key Words:** BSS-model,  $P \neq NP$ , abelian group, ultraproduct.

**A.M.S.-Classification:** 03C60.

**Introduction:** The result proven in this note was announced in a private communication hold by Christine Gaßner in 1994 at the University of Greifswald. When this note was in preparation, the result appeared also in a preprint of Menard Bourgade concerning the polynomial hierarchy over infinite abelian groups. All proofs known so far are complicated and contain a lot of calculations. We will show here a uniform model-theoretic proof.

Our work is compatible with approaches did independently by Poizat [P] and Hemmerling [H] in order to generalize the framework of Blum, Shub and Smale [BSS], [BCSS].

**Problems:** Given an infinite abelian group  $G$ , we call **input** over  $G$  a finite non-empty sequence of elements of  $G$ . Let  $G^\infty$  be the set of all inputs. A **problem**  $\Pi$  over  $G$  is any set of inputs ( $\Pi \subset G^\infty$ ). A  **$G$ -machine** is a computation system given by a finite description and able to work out inputs of arbitrary length according to a program. The length of an input is the measure of its (algebraic) complexity. By **polynomial time** we mean that the time of computation has at most a polynomial increment rate in the length of the input.

**Nondeterminism:** In the **binary** (called also boolean -, ramification -, or simply first kind of -) nondeterminism situations in which the machine can continue the computation in two different ways are allowed. The second kind of nondeterministic machines have **guess** instructions, assigning to some register any value picked up arbitrarily from the group. If one algebraic structure contains at least

---

\*Universität Greifswald, Germany and IMAR, Romania. The author thanks Christine Gaßner and Günter Asser for their work concerning this problem. See [A].

two elements and possess equality one can simulate any binary nondeterministic machine using a guess nondeterministic one.

Let  $K$  be an abstract set of constants. We consider an interpretation  $(\underline{k}^G \in G)_{k \in K}$  of  $K$  in  $G$  and the structure  $(G; (\underline{k}^G)_{k \in K}; +, -, =)$ .

**Complexity:** If we interpret the structure above as a model of computation, we can define the class  $P_G$  of problems decided by deterministic machines in polynomial time and the classes  $N_i P_G$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ) of problems recognized by the eventually halting of nondeterministic machines of the  $i$ -th kind in polynomial time. As we have seen,  $P_G \subseteq N_1 P_G \subseteq N_2 P_G$ .

**Nullsack:** We call Nullsack the following problem  $\Sigma_G \subset G^\infty$ :

$$\Sigma_G := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \exists J \neq \emptyset; J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ so that } \sum_{j \in J} x_j = 0\}.$$

$\Sigma_G \in N_1 P_G$  parameter-free. We will show that  $\Sigma_G \notin P_G$ .

**Lemma 1:** *Assume that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are infinite abelian groups such that for a given set of constants  $K$  and fixed interpretations  $(\underline{k}^{G_i})_{k \in K}$  of the constants, the resulting structures  $(G_i; (\underline{k}^{G_i})_{k \in K}; +, -, =)$  are elementary equivalent. Then  $\Sigma_{G_1} \in P_{G_1}$  iff  $\Sigma_{G_2} \in P_{G_2}$ .*

**Proof:** Assume that  $\Sigma_{G_1} \in P_{G_1}$ . There is a deterministic machine which decides  $\Sigma_{G_1}$  in a time given by a polynomial  $pol$  in the length  $n$  of the input. All the possible paths of computation have a length  $\leq pol(n)$ , just some of them end with a positive answer. Any test performed along such a path has the form "Is  $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = c$ ?" where all  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $c$  is a linear combination of constants  $(\underline{k}^{G_1})_{k \in K}$ . We denote by  $\psi_n$  the universal proposition which states that for all  $n$ -tuple of elements of the group, being a solution of the problem  $\Sigma$  is equivalent to traversing an accepting path. The left hand side of this equivalence should be a disjunction taken over all accepting paths consisting of conjunctions of  $\leq pol(n)$  (negated, if necessary) tests along a given path.

If  $\Sigma_{G_1} \in P_{G_1}$ , then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_1 \models \psi_n$ . So also  $G_2 \models \psi_n$  for all  $n$ , thus the machine obtained by substituting the parameters  $(\underline{k}^{G_1})_{k \in K}$  with corresponding parameters  $(\underline{k}^{G_2})_{k \in K}$  will decide  $\Sigma_{G_2}$  in polynomial time.

*This proof does not use the fact that the sequence  $(\psi_n)$  is recursive. Thus Lemma 1 is also true for the non-uniform computation class  $\mathbb{P}_G$ .*  $\square$

**Definition:** Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  be a prime. We recall the notation  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for the unique group with  $p$  elements. Let  $\mathbb{H}_p$  be the  $p$ -elementary group:

$$\mathbb{H}_p := \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

The group  $\mathbb{H}_p$  is an infinitely dimensional vector space over the field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with  $p$  elements. We denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the following set of infinite abelian groups:

$$\mathcal{H} := \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{H}_2, \mathbb{H}_3, \mathbb{H}_5, \dots, \mathbb{H}_p, \dots\}.$$

The following result was proved by Klaus Meer [M] for the additive group of  $\mathbb{R}$  and by Bruno Poizat [P] for the group  $\mathbb{H}_2$ :

**Lemma 2:** *Let  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  be a group. If we consider the complexity classes defined according to the structure  $(H; 0; +, -, =)$  then  $\Sigma_H \notin P_H$ . Consequently,  $P_H \neq N_1P_H$ .*

**Proof:** For  $m, n \geq 1$  we fix arbitrary numerical vectors  $\vec{a} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \vec{0}$ . For all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ , if no  $\vec{b}_i$  is a multiple of  $\vec{a}$  and, in case that  $H = \mathbb{H}_p$ , no unequation reduces to  $0 \neq 0$  because of the characteristic  $p$ , then the system:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = 0, \vec{b}_1 \cdot \vec{x} \neq 0, \dots, \vec{b}_m \cdot \vec{x} \neq 0.$$

has infinitely many solutions  $\vec{x} \in H^n$ .

If we suppose that a deterministic machine decides  $\Sigma_H$  in a polynomial time  $pol(n)$ , we choose an  $n$  such that  $2^n - 1 > pol(n)$  and we use the observation above for constructing inputs  $Y$  and  $N$  of length  $n$  with the following properties:  $Y \in \Sigma_H$ ,  $N \notin \Sigma_H$ , but both inputs traverse the unique computation path defined by a sequence of  $\leq pol(n)$  negative answers to all non-trivial tests. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3:** *Let  $G$  be an infinite abelian group and  $G^*$  its classical ultrapower. There is a group  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and an embedding of  $H$  in  $G^*$  which makes  $H \leq G^*$  so that  $H \cap G = \{0\}$ .*

**Proof:** If  $G$  contains an element of infinite order or if the set of orders for elements in  $G$  is unbounded, then  $G^*$  contains a non-standard element of infinite order. This element generates a subgroup of  $G^*$  that is isomorphic with  $\mathbb{Z}$  and has the desired property. If all orders are finite and their set is also finite, a theorem of Prüfer implies that there is a prime number  $p$  such that the set of all elements of order  $p$  is infinite. Then there are infinitely many non-standard elements of order  $p$  and we can find a copy of  $\mathbb{H}_p$  whose non-zero elements are such non-standard elements.  $\square$

**Main result:** *If  $G$  is an infinite abelian group and the class  $P_G$  is defined according to the structure*

$$(G; (\underline{g})_{g \in G}; +, -, =),$$

*then the problem  $\Sigma_G \in N_1P_G \setminus P_G$ . Consequently is  $P_G \neq N_1P_G$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $G^*$  be the classical ultrapower of  $G$ . We define  $P_{G^*}$  to be the polynomial class over  $(G^*; (\underline{g})_{g \in G}; +, -, =)$ . We prove that  $\Sigma_{G^*} \notin P_{G^*}$  and we use the elementary equivalence with  $(G; (\underline{g})_{g \in G}; +, -, =)$  to get  $\Sigma_G \notin P_G$ .

We assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\Sigma_{G^*} \in P_{G^*}$ . Thus there is a  $G^*$ -machine  $M$  with parameters in  $G$  and a polynomial  $pol$  such that for inputs  $I$  of length  $n$ ,  $M$  decides if  $I \in \Sigma_{G^*}$  in a time  $\leq pol(n)$ .

There is a  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $H \leq G^*$  and  $H \cap G = \{0\}$ . Of course  $\Sigma_H \subset \Sigma_{G^*}$ . Any test done by  $M$  looks like "Is  $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = c$ ?" with  $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\vec{x} \in H^n$  and  $c \in G$ . Because  $H \cap G = \{0\}$ , one has for inputs  $I \in H^\infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = c &\Leftrightarrow \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = 0 \text{ and } c = 0; \\ \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} \neq c &\Leftrightarrow \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} \neq 0 \text{ or } c \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $M_0$  be the machine obtained from  $M$  by substituting all parameters occurring in the finite description of  $M$  by 0. For the inputs  $I \in H^\infty$ ,  $M_0$  works like  $M$ , thus it should decide  $\Sigma_H$  in time  $pol(n)$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary:** *The stronger inequality  $\mathbb{P}_G \neq N_1P_G$  is also true for all infinite abelian groups  $G$ .*

## References

- [A] **Günter Asser, Christine Gaßner, Mihai Prunescu:** *Für alle unendlichen abelschen Gruppen  $G$  ist  $P(G) \neq NP(G)$ . (drei Beweise)* Preprint der Universität Greifswald, January 2000.
- [B] **Menard Bourgade:** *Separations et transferts dans la hierarchie polynomiale des groupes abeliens infinis.* Preprint, 2000.
- [BCSS] **Lenore Blum, Felipe Cucker, Michael Shub, Steven Smale:** *Complexity and Real Computation.* Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1998.
- [BSS] **Lenore Blum, Michael Shub, Steven Smale:** *On a theory of computation and complexity over the real numbers.* Bulletin A.M.S. 21, 1989.
- [H] **Armin Hemmerling:** *On  $P$  vs.  $NP$  for parameter-free programs over algebraic structures.* Mathematical Logic Quarterly, to appear.
- [M] **Klaus Meer:** *Real Number Models under Various Sets of Operations.* Journal of Complexity 9, 1993.
- [P] **Bruno Poizat:** *Les petits cailloux.* Aleas, Lyon 1995.