

# Random conical tessellations

Daniel Hug\* and Rolf Schneider

## Abstract

We consider tessellations of the Euclidean  $(d - 1)$ -sphere by  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional great subspheres or, equivalently, tessellations of Euclidean  $d$ -space by hyperplanes through the origin; these we call conical tessellations. For random polyhedral cones defined as typical cones in a conical tessellation by random hyperplanes, and for their dual cones, we study expectations of certain geometric functionals and extend formulas of Cover and Efron (1967), by including additional geometric functionals, among them the conical intrinsic volumes. For isotropic conical tessellations, we find counterparts to results of Miles (1961); they can be interpreted as giving the covariance matrix for a random vector whose components are total  $k$ -face contents of certain spherical random polytopes.

*Key words and phrases:* Conical tessellation; spherical tessellation; random polyhedral cones; conical quermassintegrals; conical intrinsic volumes; number of  $k$ -faces; first and second order moments

*AMS 2000 subject classifications.* 60D05, 52A22; secondary 52A55; 52C35; 52B05

## 1 Introduction

Random mosaics in Euclidean spaces are an intensively studied topic of stochastic geometry. We refer the reader to Chapter 10 in the book [22] and to the more recent survey articles [3], [9], [23] and [19]. A much investigated particular class, besides the Voronoi tessellations, are hyperplane tessellations, in particular those generated by stationary Poisson processes of hyperplanes, initiated by the seminal work of Miles [13], [14], [15], [17] and Matheron [10], [11]. Relatively little has been done on random tessellations of spaces other than the Euclidean. Tessellations of the sphere of arbitrary dimensions by great subspheres (of codimension 1) were briefly considered by Cover and Efron [4], and those of the two-dimensional sphere in more detail by Miles [18]. Relations between various densities of random mosaics in spherical spaces were studied by Arbeiter and Zähle [2].

In the following, we start a more detailed investigation of tessellations of the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  by random great subspheres. Equivalently, we consider random hyperplanes through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the tessellations of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into convex polyhedral cones which they generate. For that reason, we talk of ‘conical tessellations’.

Our starting point are some older results due to Miles [13] and to Cover and Efron [4], to which we seek conical analogues or extensions, respectively. In his thesis [13], Chap. 11, Miles has obtained results on first and second order moments for some geometric functionals of typical cells of stationary Poisson hyperplane tessellations in Euclidean spaces (the results are surveyed, without proofs, in [17]). The functionals of polytopes considered by him are

---

\*Supported in part by DFG grants FOR 1548 and HU 1874/4-2.

numbers of  $k$ -faces, volumes of  $k$ -skeletons, and intrinsic volumes. For certain spherical random polytopes, the expected numbers of  $k$ -faces and expected volumes of  $k$ -skeletons were studied by Cover and Efron [4].

The spherical counterparts of the intrinsic volumes (also called conical intrinsic volumes) have recently found interesting applications, for example in [1], [12]. Therefore, it seems appropriate now to pay increased attention to them also in stochastic geometry. Below, we first supplement the results of Cover and Efron [4] on spherical random polytopes, or random cones, by also regarding conical intrinsic volumes (Theorem 4.1). Then we prove conical analogues to the mentioned results of Miles [13], in particular on second moments of volumes of skeletons (Theorem 8.1). This comprises also the higher-dimensional extension of the results of Miles [18], Theorem 6.3, on tessellations of the two-dimensional sphere.

In Section 2 we introduce the geometric functionals of polyhedral cones that will be studied, and in Section 3 the two types of random cones for which we investigate expectations or variances of these functionals. Expectation results of Cover and Efron are extended in Section 4. Sections 5 to 7 are then preparatory to the results on second moments, which are finally obtained in Section 8.

## 2 Geometric functionals of convex cones

We work in  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 2$ ), with scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , and denote by  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  its unit sphere. Let  $\sigma_m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , be the  $m$ -dimensional spherical Lebesgue measure (i.e., the  $m$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure) on  $m$ -dimensional great subspheres of  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we put

$$\omega_n := \sigma_{n-1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}^d$  denote the set of closed convex cones in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , which includes  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces,  $k \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ . We equip  $\mathcal{C}^d$  with the topology induced by the Fell topology (see [22, Sec. 12.2]). A cone  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  is called *pointed* if it does not contain a line. We write  $\mathcal{PC}^d$  for the set of polyhedral cones in  $\mathcal{C}^d$ . This set is a Borel subset of  $\mathcal{C}^d$ . For  $C \in \mathcal{PC}^d$  and for  $k \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{F}_k(C)$  the set of  $k$ -dimensional faces of  $C$ .

For  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$ , the dual cone is defined by

$$C^\circ := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, x \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in C\}.$$

This is again a cone in  $\mathcal{C}^d$ , and  $C^{\circ\circ} := (C^\circ)^\circ = C$ . If  $C$  is pointed and  $d$ -dimensional, then  $C^\circ$  has the same properties. If  $C \in \mathcal{PC}^d$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}_k(C)$  for  $k \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ , then the normal cone  $N(C, F)$  of  $C$  at  $F$  is a  $(d-k)$ -face of the polyhedral cone  $C^\circ$ , also called the *conjugate face* (of  $F$  with respect to  $C$ ) and denoted by  $\hat{F}_C$ . If  $\hat{F}_C = G$ , then  $\hat{G}_{C^\circ} = F$ .

The following fact is occasionally useful.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  is pointed, and let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a linear subspace. Then*

$$L \cap C \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow L^\perp \cap \text{int } C^\circ = \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L \cap C \neq \{0\}$ . Choose  $v \in L \cap C$ ,  $v \neq 0$ . Suppose there exists  $y \in L^\perp \cap \text{int } C^\circ$ . Since  $y \in L^\perp$ , we have  $\langle y, v \rangle = 0$ . Since  $y \in \text{int } C^\circ$ , the points  $y'$  in some neighbourhood of  $y$  belong to  $C^\circ$  and hence satisfy  $\langle y', v \rangle \leq 0$ . But since  $\langle y, v \rangle = 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ , this is impossible.

Suppose that  $L^\perp \cap \text{int } C^\circ = \emptyset$ . The disjoint convex sets  $L^\perp$  and  $\text{int } C^\circ$  can be separated by a hyperplane, hence there is a vector  $v \neq 0$  with  $\langle v, y \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $y \in \text{int } C^\circ$  and  $\langle v, z \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $z \in L^\perp$ ; the latter implies  $\langle v, z \rangle = 0$  for  $z \in L^\perp$  and thus  $v \in L$ . Since  $C$  does not contain a line,  $\text{int } C^\circ \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $\langle v, y \rangle \leq 0$  holds for all  $y \in C^\circ$ . Therefore,  $v \in C^{\circ\circ} = C$ . Thus,  $v \in L \cap C$ .  $\square$

A set  $M \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is *spherically convex* if  $\text{pos } M$  is convex; here  $\text{pos}$  denotes the positive hull. To include some degenerate cases in the following, we define  $\text{pos } \emptyset := \{0\}$ . If  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$ , the set  $K = C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is called a *convex body* in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , and we have  $C = \text{pos } K$ . In particular, the empty set and  $k$ -dimensional great subspheres, that is, intersections of  $(k+1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces with  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , for  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  (and thus including  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ), are convex bodies in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . The set of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}_s$  (this notation, as well as the term ‘convex body’, differ from the usage in [22, Sec. 6.5], where the empty set is excluded). For  $K \in \mathcal{K}_s$ , the dual convex body  $K^\circ$  is defined by

$$K^\circ := \{y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : \langle y, x \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in K\} = (\text{pos } K)^\circ \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

To introduce the conical quermassintegrals and the conical intrinsic volumes, we make use of the correspondence between convex cones in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and spherically convex sets in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . For the latter, the functionals to be considered were already introduced by Santaló [20, Part IV], with different notation. We follow here the approach of Glasauer [7] and refer to [22, Sec. 6.5] for further details.

Let  $G(d, k)$  denote the Grassmannian of  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and let  $\nu_k$  be its normalized Haar measure (the unique rotation invariant Borel probability measure on  $G(d, k)$ ),  $k = 0, \dots, d$ . For  $K \in \mathcal{K}_s$ , the *spherical quermassintegrals* are defined by

$$U_j(K) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{G(d, d-j)} \chi(K \cap L) \nu_{d-j}(dL), \quad j = 0, \dots, d, \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi$  denotes the Euler characteristic. (Of course,  $U_0(K) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(K)$  and  $U_d(K) = 0$ , but this is included for formal reasons). We recall from [22, p. 262] that if  $K$  is a convex body in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and not a great subsphere, then  $\chi(K \cap L) = \mathbf{1}\{K \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$  for  $\nu_{d-j}$  almost all  $L \in G(d, d-j)$ . Hence, in this case  $2U_j(K)$  is the total invariant probability measure of the set of all  $(d-j)$ -dimensional linear subspaces hitting  $K$ . Since  $\chi(\mathbb{S}^k) = 1 + (-1)^k$  for a great subsphere  $\mathbb{S}^k$  of dimension  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ , we have

$$U_j(\mathbb{S}^k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k-j \geq 0 \text{ and even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } k-j < 0 \text{ or odd.} \end{cases}$$

For cones  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$ , we now define

$$U_j(C) := U_j(C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}). \quad (2)$$

If  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  is not a linear subspace, then

$$U_j(C) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{G(d, d-j)} \mathbf{1}\{C \cap L \neq \{0\}\} \nu_{d-j}(dL), \quad j = 0, \dots, d. \quad (3)$$

If  $L^k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a linear subspace of dimension  $k$ , then

$$U_j(L^k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k-j > 0 \text{ and odd,} \\ 0, & \text{if } k-j \leq 0 \text{ or even.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Let  $0 \leq j \leq m \leq d-1$ , let  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be an  $m$ -dimensional linear subspace and  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  a cone with  $C \subset M$ . The image measure of  $\nu_{d-j}$  under the map  $L \mapsto L \cap M$  from  $G(d, d-j)$  to the Grassmannian of  $(m-j)$ -subspaces in  $M$  is the normalized Haar measure on the latter space. Here (and subsequently) we tacitly use the fact that  $\nu_{d-j}(\{L \in G(d, d-j) : L \cap M \notin G(d, m-j)\}) = 0$ ; see [22, Lemma 13.2.1]. Therefore, it follows from (1), (2) that  $U_j(C)$  does not depend on whether it is computed in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or in  $M$ .

In particular, for  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  and  $m \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , we have

$$\dim C \leq m \Rightarrow U_{m-1}(C) = \frac{\sigma_{m-1}(C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{\omega_m}.$$

If  $C \subset \mathcal{C}^d$  is not a linear subspace, the duality relation

$$U_j(C) + U_{d-j}(C^\circ) = \frac{1}{2} \tag{5}$$

holds for  $j = 0, \dots, d$ . If  $C$  is pointed and  $d$ -dimensional, this follows from (3) and Lemma 2.1. If  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  is not a subspace, the assertion can be obtained from the previous case by approximation, using easily established continuity properties. If  $C$  is a subspace, duality is of little interest, in view of (4).

We now recall the spherical intrinsic volumes and refer to [22, Sec. 6.5] for details. Let  $d_s$  be the spherical distance on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ; thus, for  $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ ,  $d_s(x, y) = \arccos \langle x, y \rangle$ . For  $K \in \mathcal{K}_s \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , the distance of  $x$  from  $K$  is  $d_s(K, x) := \min\{d_s(y, x) : y \in K\}$ . For  $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$ , the (outer) parallel set of  $K$  at distance  $\varepsilon$  is defined by

$$M_\varepsilon(K) := \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} : 0 < d_s(K, x) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

By the spherical Steiner formula, the measure of this set can be written in the form

$$\sigma_{d-1}(M_\varepsilon(K)) = \sum_{m=0}^{d-2} g_{d,m}(\varepsilon) v_m(K)$$

with

$$g_{d,m}(\varepsilon) := \omega_{m+1} \omega_{d-m-1} \int_0^\varepsilon \cos^m \varphi \sin^{d-m-2} \varphi \, d\varphi$$

for  $0 \leq \varepsilon < \pi/2$ . This defines the numbers  $v_0(K), \dots, v_{d-2}(K)$  uniquely. The definition is supplemented by setting  $v_m(\emptyset) := 0$ ,

$$v_{d-1}(K) := \frac{\sigma_{d-1}(K)}{\omega_d},$$

and

$$v_{-1}(K) := v_{d-1}(K^\circ).$$

Note that  $v_m(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) = 0$  for  $m = 0, \dots, d-2$  and  $v_{d-1}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) = 1$ . The numbers  $v_i(K)$  are the *spherical intrinsic volumes* of  $K$ . In particular, for  $K \in \mathcal{K}_s$  and  $m = 0, \dots, d-1$ ,

$$\dim K \leq m \Rightarrow v_m(K) = \frac{\sigma_m(K)}{\omega_{m+1}}.$$

For spherical polytopes, the spherical intrinsic volumes have representations in terms of angles, similar as in the Euclidean case. For a spherical polytope  $P$  and for  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-2\}$ ,

we denote by  $\mathcal{F}_k(P)$  the set of  $k$ -faces of  $P$ . Let  $P$  be a spherical polytope and  $F \in \mathcal{F}_k(P)$ . The *external angle*  $\gamma(F, P)$  of  $P$  at  $F$  is defined by

$$\gamma(F, P) := \gamma(\text{pos } F, \text{pos } P) := \frac{\sigma_{d-k-2}(N(\text{pos } P, \text{pos } F) \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{\omega_{d-k-1}}.$$

With these notations, we have

$$v_m(P) = \frac{1}{\omega_{m+1}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_m(P)} \sigma_m(F) \gamma(F, P), \quad m = 0, \dots, d-2.$$

For cones  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$ , the *conical intrinsic volumes* are now defined by

$$V_m(C) := v_{m-1}(C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}), \quad m = 0, \dots, d.$$

The shift in the index has the advantage that the highest occurring index is equal to the maximal possible dimension of  $C$ . Since  $C$  is a cone, there is no danger of confusion with the intrinsic volumes of compact convex bodies.

For a cone  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  with  $\dim C = k$ , the *internal angle* of  $C$  at 0 is defined by

$$\beta(0, C) = \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{\omega_k}.$$

Then, for an arbitrary polyhedral cone  $C \in \mathcal{PC}^d$  and for  $m = 1, \dots, d-1$ , we have

$$V_m(C) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_m(C)} \beta(0, F) \gamma(F, C).$$

In particular, if  $\dim C = m$ , then  $V_m(C) = \beta(0, C)$ .

In contrast to the quermassintegrals and intrinsic volumes of convex bodies in Euclidean space, which differ only by their normalizations, the conical quermassintegrals and conical intrinsic volumes are essentially different functionals. However, they are closely related. A spherical integral-geometric formula of Crofton type (see [22, (6.63)]) implies that

$$U_j(C) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1-j}{2} \rfloor} V_{j+2k+1}(C) \tag{6}$$

for  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$  and  $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ . From (6), it follows that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} V_j &= U_{j-1} - U_{j+1} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, d-2, \\ V_{d-1} &= U_{d-2}, \\ V_d &= U_{d-1}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{7}$$

The duality relation

$$V_m(C) = V_{d-m}(C^\circ), \quad m = 0, \dots, d \tag{8}$$

holds for  $C \in \mathcal{C}^d$ . For  $m \in \{0, d\}$  it holds by definition. For  $m \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ , it follows from (5) and (7) if  $C$  is not a subspace, and from

$$V_j(L^k) = \delta_{jk} \tag{9}$$

(Kronecker symbol) if  $C = L^k$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace; here (9) follows from (4) and (7).

As did Miles [13, Sec. 5.8] for convex polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we use the conical quermassintegrals to define a more general series of functionals for polyhedral cones, which comprises some interesting special cases. For  $C \in \mathcal{PC}^d$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, d$  and  $j = 0, \dots, k - 1$ , let

$$Y_{k,j}(C) := \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(C)} U_j(F). \quad (10)$$

Then, in particular,

$$\dim C = d \Rightarrow Y_{d,j}(C) = U_j(C).$$

If  $C \in \mathcal{PC}^d$  is such that the  $k$ -faces of  $C$  are not linear subspaces, then

$$Y_{k,0}(C) = \frac{1}{2} f_k(C), \quad (11)$$

where  $f_k(C)$  denotes the number of  $k$ -faces of  $C$ .

Further, for  $C \in \mathcal{PC}^d$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , we define the functional  $\Lambda_k$  by

$$\Lambda_k(C) := \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k(C)} V_k(F). \quad (12)$$

Since the conical intrinsic volumes and the conical quermassintegrals are intrinsically defined, it follows from (7) that

$$Y_{k,k-1}(C) = \Lambda_k(C).$$

### 3 Conical tessellations and the Cover–Efron model

In this section, we introduce random conical tessellations and the two basic types of random polyhedral cones that they induce. These random cones were first considered by Cover and Efron [4]. We slightly modify and formalize the approach of [4], to meet our later requirements.

Recall that  $G(d, d-1)$  denotes the Grassmannian of  $(d-1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that hyperplanes  $H_1, \dots, H_n \in G(d, d-1)$  are *in general position* if any  $k \leq d$  of them have an intersection of dimension  $d-k$ . For a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , let

$$x^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, x \rangle = 0\}, \quad x^- = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, x \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

We shall repeatedly make use of the duality

$$(\text{pos}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})^\circ = \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i^-, \quad \text{pos}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i^- \right)^\circ \quad (13)$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are said to be *in general position* if any  $d$  or fewer of these vectors are linearly independent. Thus, the hyperplanes  $x_1^\perp, \dots, x_n^\perp$  are in general position if and only if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are in general position. If this is the case, then

$$\text{pos}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \neq \mathbb{R}^d \Leftrightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i^- \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \dim \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i^- = d, \quad (14)$$

where the last implication  $\Rightarrow$  follows from general position. In fact, suppose that  $C := \bigcap_{i=1}^n x_i^-$  satisfies  $0 < k = \dim C < d$ . Let  $L_k = \text{lin } C$ . Choose  $p \in \text{relint } C$  and define  $I := \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : p \in x_i^\perp\}$ , hence  $p \in \text{int } x_j^-$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ . Then  $C \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} x_i^\perp$  implies that  $L_k \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} x_i^\perp \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} x_i^-$ . Since  $p \in \text{int } x_j^-$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I$ , we also have  $\bigcap_{i \in I} x_i^- \subset L_k$ , and thus  $L_k = \bigcap_{i \in I} x_i^\perp = \bigcap_{i \in I} x_i^-$  and  $L_k^\perp = \text{pos}\{x_i : i \in I\}$ , by (13). But then necessarily  $|I| \geq d - k$ . The assumption of general position implies that  $|I| = d - k$ , which is a contradiction to  $L_k^\perp = \text{pos}\{x_i : i \in I\}$ .

Let  $H_1, \dots, H_n \in G(d, d-1)$  be in general position. The hyperplanes  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  induce a tessellation  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into  $d$ -dimensional polyhedral cones. We call  $\mathcal{T}$  a *conical tessellation* of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , the set of  $k$ -faces of  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined as the union of the sets of  $k$ -faces of these polyhedral cones (the  $d$ -dimensional cones are the  $d$ -faces). We write  $\mathcal{F}_k(H_1, \dots, H_n)$  for the set of  $k$ -faces of the tessellation  $\mathcal{T}$ . Later, we shall often abbreviate  $(H_1, \dots, H_n) =: \eta_n$  and then write  $\mathcal{F}_k(\eta_n)$  for  $\mathcal{F}_k(H_1, \dots, H_n)$ . By  $f_k(\mathcal{T})$  we denote the number of  $k$ -faces of the tessellation  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The spherical polytopes  $C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , where  $C$  is a cone of  $\mathcal{T}$ , form a tessellation of the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , or spherical tessellation. In the following, it will be more convenient to work with convex cones than with their intersections with  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ .

If we denote by  $H^-$  one of the two closed halfspaces bounded by the hyperplane  $H$ , then it follows from (14) that the  $d$ -dimensional cones of the tessellation  $\mathcal{T}$  induced by  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  are precisely the cones different from  $\{0\}$  of the form

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i H_i^-, \quad \varepsilon_i = \pm 1.$$

We call these cones the *Schläfli cones* induced by  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , because Schläfli (generalizing a result of Steiner) has shown that there are exactly

$$C(n, d) := 2 \sum_{r=0}^{d-1} \binom{n-1}{r} \quad (15)$$

of them (the simple inductive proof is reproduced in [22, Lem. 8.2.1]; also references are found there). We consistently define  $C(0, d) := 1$  (where the only cone is  $\mathbb{R}^d$  itself) and  $C(n, d) := 0$  for  $n < 0$ .

Each choice of  $d - k$  indices  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d-k} \leq n$  determines a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L = H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_{d-k}}$ . For  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{d-k}\}$ , the intersections of  $L$  with the hyperplanes  $H_i$  are in general position in  $L$  and hence determine  $C(n - d + k, k)$  Schläfli cones with respect to  $L$ . Each of these is a  $k$ -face of the tessellation  $\mathcal{T}$ , and each  $k$ -face of  $\mathcal{T}$  is obtained in this way. Thus, the total number of  $k$ -faces is given by

$$f_k(\mathcal{T}) = \binom{n}{d-k} C(n - d + k, k) =: C(n, d, k), \quad (16)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . In particular,  $f_k(\mathcal{T}) = 1$  if  $n = d - k$  and  $f_k(\mathcal{T}) = 0$  if  $n < d - k$ .

Now we turn to random cones. The random vectors appearing in the following can be assumed as unit vectors, since only their spanned rays are relevant. All measures on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  or  $G(d, d-1)$  appearing in the following are Borel measures. Generally, we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(T)$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel sets of a given topological space  $T$ . Let  $\phi$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  which is symmetric with respect to 0 (also called *even*) and assigns measure zero to

each  $(d - 2)$ -dimensional great subsphere. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent random points in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  with distribution  $\phi$ . With probability 1, they are in general position. In the following, we denote probabilities by  $\mathbb{P}$  and expectations by  $\mathbb{E}$ .

From Schläfli's result (15), Wendel has deduced that

$$p_n^{(d)} := \mathbb{P}(\text{pos}\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \neq \mathbb{R}^d) = \frac{C(n, d)}{2^n} \quad (17)$$

(see [22, Thm. 8.2.1]). This result, having an essentially geometric core, does not depend on the choice of the distribution  $\phi$ , as long as the latter has the specified properties.

Cover and Efron [4] have considered the spherically convex hull of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , under the condition that this convex hull is different from the whole sphere. We talk of the *Cover–Efron model* if a spherically convex random polytope or its spanned cone is generated in this way.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be as above. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent random points with distribution  $\phi$ . The*

$$(\phi, n)\text{-Cover–Efron cone } C_n$$

*is the random cone defined as the positive hull of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  under the condition that this is different from  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .*

Thus,  $C_n$  is a random convex cone with distribution given by  $\mathbb{P}(C_n = \mathbb{R}^d) = 0$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(C_n \in B) = \frac{1}{p_n^{(d)}} \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^n} \mathbf{1}_B(\text{pos}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) \phi^n(d(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \quad (18)$$

for  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}_p^d)$ , where  $\mathcal{PC}_p^d := \mathcal{PC}^d \setminus \{\mathbb{R}^d\}$ . Hence  $C \in B \subset \mathcal{PC}_p^d$  implies  $C \neq \mathbb{R}^d$ .

By duality, the Cover–Efron model is connected to random conical tessellations, as we now explain.

Let  $\phi^*$  be the image measure of  $\phi$  under the mapping  $x \mapsto x^\perp$  from the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  to the Grassmannian  $G(d, d-1)$ . Every probability measure  $\phi^*$  on  $G(d, d-1)$  that assigns measure zero to each set of hyperplanes in  $G(d, d-1)$  containing a fixed line is obtained in this way. Let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  be independent random hyperplanes in  $G(d, d-1)$  with distribution  $\phi^*$ . With probability 1, they are in general position.

**Definition 3.2.** *Let  $\phi^*$  be as above. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  be independent random hyperplanes with distribution  $\phi^*$ . The*

$$(\phi^*, n)\text{-Schläfli cone } S_n$$

*is obtained by picking at random (with equal chances) one of the Schläfli cones induced by  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$ .*

Since consecutive random constructions, of which this is an example, will also appear later, we indicate, once and for all, how such a procedure can be formalized. Let  $\Omega_1^n := G(d, d-1)_*^n$  be the set of  $n$ -tuples of  $(d-1)$ -subspaces in general position. The probability measure  $P_n$  on  $\Omega_1^n$  is defined by  $P_n := \phi^{*n} \lfloor \Omega_1^n$  (where  $\lfloor$  denotes the restriction of a measure). We interpret the choice described in Definition 3.2 as a two-step experiment and define a kernel  $K_2^1 : \Omega_1^n \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}^d) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$K_2^1(\eta_n, B) := \frac{1}{C(n, d)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}_B(C)$$



for  $\eta_n \in \Omega_1^n$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}^d)$ . Then (following, e.g., [6, Satz 1.8.10]), we define a probability measure  $P_n \times K_2^1$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_1^n) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}^d)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (P_n \times K_2^1)(A) &= \int_{G(d,d-1)^n} \int_{\mathcal{PC}^d} \mathbf{1}_A(\eta_n, \omega_2) K_2^1(\eta_n, d\omega_2) \phi^{*n}(d\eta_n) \\ &= \int_{G(d,d-1)^n} \frac{1}{C(n,d)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}_A(\eta_n, C) \phi^{*n}(d\eta_n) \end{aligned}$$

for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1^n) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}^d)$ . Now  $S_n$  is defined as the random cone whose distribution is equal to  $(P_n \times K_2^1)(\Omega_1^n \times \cdot)$ . Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \in B) = \int_{G(d,d-1)^n} \frac{1}{C(n,d)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_1, \dots, H_n)} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \phi^{*n}(d(H_1, \dots, H_n)) \quad (19)$$

for  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}^d)$ .

To relate  $S_n$  and  $C_n$ , we rewrite equation (18), using the symmetry of  $\phi$  and then (17) and (13). For  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}_p^d)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(C_n \in B) &= \frac{1}{p_n^{(d)}} \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^n} \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} \mathbf{1}_B(\text{pos}\{\varepsilon_1 x_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n x_n\}) \phi^n(d(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^n} \frac{1}{C(n,d)} \sum_{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1} \mathbf{1}_B \left( \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i^- \right)^\circ \right) \phi^n(d(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^n} \frac{1}{C(n,d)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(x_1^+, \dots, x_n^+)} \mathbf{1}_B(C^\circ) \phi^n(d(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= \int_{G(d,d-1)^n} \frac{1}{C(n,d)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_1, \dots, H_n)} \mathbf{1}_B(C^\circ) \phi^{*n}(d(H_1, \dots, H_n)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(S_n^\circ \in B), \end{aligned}$$

where (19) was used in the last step. Thus,

$$C_n = S_n^\circ \quad \text{in distribution,} \quad (20)$$

since also  $\mathbb{P}(S_n^\circ = \mathbb{R}^d) = \mathbb{P}(S_n = \emptyset) = 0$ .

## 4 Expectations for random Schläfli and Cover–Efron cones

In this section,  $\phi^*$  is a probability measure on the Grassmannian  $G(d, d-1)$  with the property that it is zero on each set of hyperplanes containing a fixed line through 0. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider the  $(\phi^*, n)$ -Schläfli cone and want to compute the expectations of the geometric functionals  $Y_{k,j}$ , defined by (10), for this random cone.

In his study of Poisson hyperplane tessellations in Euclidean spaces, Miles [13, Chap. 11] has employed the idea of defining, by means of combinatorial selection procedures, different weighted random polytopes, which could then be combined to give results about first

and second moments. In this and subsequent sections, we adapt this approach to conical tessellations.

First we describe a combinatorial random choice. Let  $H_1, \dots, H_n \in G(d, d-1)$  be hyperplanes in general position, and let  $L \in G(d, k)$ , for  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , be a  $k$ -dimensional linear subspace in general position with respect to  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ , which means that  $H_1 \cap L, \dots, H_n \cap L$  are  $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $L$  which are in general position in  $L$ . Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . The tessellation  $\mathcal{T}_L$  induced in  $L$  by  $H_1 \cap L, \dots, H_n \cap L$ , has  $C(n, k, j)$  faces of dimension  $j$ , by (16). If  $n < k - j$ , then clearly  $C(n, k, j) = 0$ . The following is an immediate consequence of general position.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $j \geq 1$ . To each  $j$ -face  $F_j$  of  $\mathcal{T}_L$ , there is a unique  $(d - k + j)$ -face  $F$  of the tessellation  $\mathcal{T}$  induced by  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ , such that  $F_j = F \cap L$ .*

*Conversely, if  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $F \cap L \neq \{0\}$ , then  $F \cap L$  is a  $j$ -face of  $\mathcal{T}_L$ .*

In the following, we assume that  $n \geq k - j$ . We choose one of the  $j$ -faces of  $\mathcal{T}_L$  at random (with equal chances) and denote it by  $F_j$ . Then  $F_j = L \cap F$  with a unique face  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\mathcal{T})$ . The face  $F_j$  is contained in  $2^{k-j}$  Schläfli cones of  $\mathcal{T}_L$  and thus in  $2^{k-j}$  Schläfli cones of  $\mathcal{T}$ . These are precisely the Schläfli cones of  $\mathcal{T}$  that contain  $F$ . We select one of these at random (with equal chances) and call it  $C^{[k,j]}(H_1, \dots, H_n, L)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  be independent random hyperplanes with distribution  $\phi^*$ . We apply the described procedure to these hyperplanes and to a random  $k$ -dimensional subspace. This random subspace will here be chosen as explained below, and in a different way in Section 6.

Let  $\mathcal{L} \in G(d, k)$  be a random subspace with distribution  $\nu_k$ , which is independent of  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$ ; for  $k = d$ ,  $L = \mathbb{R}^d$  is deterministic. We may assume, since this happens with probability 1, that  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are in general position. Then we define

$$C_n^{[k,j]} := C^{[k,j]}(\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n, \mathcal{L}). \quad (21)$$

More formally,  $C_n^{[k,j]}$  is a random polyhedral cone with distribution given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(C_n^{[k,j]} \in B) \\ &= \int_{G(d, d-1)^n} \int_{G(d, k)} \frac{1}{C(n, k, j)} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n) \\ F \cap L \neq \{0\}}} \frac{1}{2^{k-j}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n) \\ C \supset F}} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \nu_k(dL) \phi^{*n}(d\eta_n) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{PC}^d)$  and  $n \geq k - j$  (recall that  $\eta_n$  is a shorthand notation for  $(H_1, \dots, H_n)$ ).

If  $n > k - j$ , then almost surely  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n)$  is not a linear subspace. Thus, (3) implies that the inner integral in (22), up to the combinatorial factors, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G(d, k)} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \cap L \neq \{0\}\} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \subset C\} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \nu_k(dL) \\ &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \subset C\} \int_{G(d, k)} \mathbf{1}\{F \cap L \neq \{0\}\} \nu_k(dL) \\ &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \subset C\} 2U_{d-k}(F) \\ &= 2 \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}_B(C) Y_{d-k+j, d-k}(C), \end{aligned}$$

according to (10). Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(C_n^{[k,j]} \in B) = \frac{2}{2^{k-j}C(n,k,j)} \int_{G(d,d-1)^n} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}_B(C) Y_{d-k+j,d-k}(C) \phi^{*n}(d\eta_n). \quad (23)$$

From (19) and (23) (both formulated for expectations) we get, for every nonnegative, measurable function  $g$  on  $\mathcal{PC}^d$  and  $n > k - j$ , the equation

$$\mathbb{E} g(C_n^{[k,j]}) = \frac{2C(n,d)}{2^{k-j}C(n,k,j)} \mathbb{E}(gY_{d-k+j,d-k})(S_n). \quad (24)$$

With  $g = 1$ , (24) reads

$$\mathbb{E} Y_{d-k+j,d-k}(S_n) = \frac{2^{k-j}C(n,k,j)}{2C(n,d)}, \quad (25)$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq k \leq d$  and  $n > k - j$ , so that we can also write

$$\mathbb{E} g(C_n^{[k,j]}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(gY_{d-k+j,d-k})(S_n)}{\mathbb{E} Y_{d-k+j,d-k}(S_n)}.$$

Thus, the distribution of  $C_n^{[k,j]}$  is obtained from the distribution of  $S_n$  by weighting it with the function  $Y_{d-k+j,d-k}$ . This is the conical counterpart to [13, Sec. 11.3, Lemma]. In analogy to [13, Sec. 11.3], we point out some special cases.

If  $k = j = 1$ , the procedure is equivalent to choosing a uniform random point in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , independent of  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$ , and taking for  $C_n^{[1,1]}$  the Schläfli cone containing it. The weight function satisfies  $Y_{d,d-1}(C) = V_d(C)$ .

If  $k = d$ , the procedure is equivalent to choosing a  $j$ -face of the tessellation  $\mathcal{T}$  at random (with equal chances) and then choosing at random (with equal chances) one of the Schläfli cones containing it, which gives  $C_n^{[d,j]}$ . The weight function satisfies  $Y_{j,0}(C) = \frac{1}{2}f_j(C)$ , since the assumption  $n > d - j$  implies that the  $j$ -faces of  $C$  are not linear subspaces. In particular, for  $j = d$  it is constant, and  $C_n^{[d,d]} = S_n$  in distribution.

By specialization, the equation (25) includes some results obtained by Cover and Efron [4]. They proved for  $k = 1, \dots, d$  that

$$\mathbb{E} f_k(S_n) = \frac{2^{d-k} \binom{n}{d-k} C(n-d+k, k)}{C(n, d)}$$

(formula (3.1) in [4], after correction of misprints). This equation is obtained from (25) by choosing  $k = d$  and then replacing  $j$  by  $k$  (and observing (11) and (16)) for  $n > d - k$ . For  $n = d - k$  both sides are equal to 1, and for  $n < d - k$  both sides are zero. The duality (20) gives

$$\mathbb{E} f_k(C_n) = \frac{2^k \binom{n}{k} C(n-k, d-k)}{C(n, d)}$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, d - 1$ , which is formula (3.3) in [4].

In the summary of their paper [4], Cover and Efron also announced results on the ‘expected natural measure of the set of  $k$ -faces’. As such a natural measure one can consider the functional  $\Lambda_k$  defined by (12) for polyhedral cones (or its natural analogue in the case of spherical polytopes). With this definition, one has

$$\mathbb{E} \Lambda_k(S_n) = \frac{2^{d-k} \binom{n}{d-k}}{C(n, d)}, \quad (26)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, d$ , and

$$\mathbb{E} \Lambda_k(C_n) = \frac{\binom{n}{k} C(n-k, d-k)}{C(n, d)}, \quad (27)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, d-1$ . In contrast to (27), relation (26) holds also for  $k = d$ , by (25). Cover and Efron did not formulate these results; however, some arguments leading to them are contained in their Theorems 2 and 4. We note that (26) is the special case of (25) which is obtained by replacing  $k$  by  $d-k+1$  and setting  $j = 1$ . Here we use that for  $n > d-k$ , the  $k$ -faces of  $S_n$  are not in  $G(d, k)$ . For  $n \leq d-k$ , the equation is apparently true as well.

For (27), we extend and complete the arguments given in [4]. For the proof, we can assume that  $n \geq k$ . Let  $k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ . By (20) and (19),

$$\mathbb{E} \Lambda_k(C_n) = \mathbb{E} \Lambda_k(S_n^\circ) = \int_{G(d, d-1)^n} \frac{1}{C(n, d)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \Lambda_k(C^\circ) \phi^{*n}(d\eta_n).$$

Let  $\eta_n = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ , where  $H_1, \dots, H_n \in G(d, d-1)$  are in general position. Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k}(\eta_n)$ . Then there are indices  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  such that

$$F \subset L_{i_1, \dots, i_k} := H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_k}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_F$  be the set of Schläfli cones  $C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)$  with  $F \subset C$ . Let  $u_j$  be a unit normal vector of  $H_{i_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then the cones  $C \in \mathcal{C}_F$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the choices  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that

$$C \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^k \varepsilon_j u_j^-.$$

The face of  $C^\circ$  conjugate to  $F$  (with respect to  $C$ ) is then given by

$$\widehat{F}_C = \text{pos}\{\varepsilon_1 u_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k u_k\}.$$

It follows that the faces  $\widehat{F}_C$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{C}_F$ , form a tiling of  $L_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^\perp$ , and therefore

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \subset C\} V_k(\widehat{F}_C) = 1. \quad (28)$$

The faces  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k}(\eta_n)$  with  $F \subset L_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  are the Schläfli cones of the tessellation induced in  $L_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ , hence there are precisely  $C(n-k, d-k)$  of them. Now we obtain, using (28) and the latter remark,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \Lambda_k(C^\circ) &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \sum_{G \in \mathcal{F}_k(C^\circ)} V_k(G) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k}(C)} V_k(\widehat{F}_C) \\ &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k}(\eta_n)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \subset C\} V_k(\widehat{F}_C) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k}(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \subset L_{i_1, \dots, i_k}\} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \subset C\} V_k(\widehat{F}_C) \\ &= \binom{n}{k} C(n-k, d-k), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (27).

The following expectations do not appear in [4]. Replacing  $k$  and  $j$  in (25) both by  $d - k$ , then for  $n \geq 1$  we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} U_k(S_n) = \frac{C(n, d - k)}{2C(n, d)}, \quad k = 0, \dots, d - 1.$$

Note that if  $n \leq d - k$ , then both sides of the equation are equal to  $1/2$ .

Since  $C_n$  is almost surely pointed, the dualities (5) and (20) yield

$$\mathbb{E} U_k(C_n) = \frac{C(n, d) - C(n, k)}{2C(n, d)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, d - 1,$$

where both sides of the equation are equal to 0 if  $n < k$ .

The relations given in (7) can now be used to deduce the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The expected conical intrinsic volumes of the  $(\phi^*, n)$ -Schl\"afli cone  $S_n$  and the  $(\phi, n)$ -Cover-Efron cone  $C_n$  are given by*

$$\mathbb{E} V_j(S_n) = \binom{n}{d - j} C(n, d)^{-1}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and

$$\mathbb{E} V_j(C_n) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{j} C(n, d)^{-1}, & j = 1, \dots, d - 1, \\ \binom{n - 1}{d - 1} C(n, d)^{-1}, & j = d. \end{cases}$$

Of course, for  $j = 1, \dots, d - 1$ , the dualities (8) and (20) can also be used to derive the second relation from the first.

We point out that the results obtained so far hold for general distributions  $\phi^*$ , as specified at the beginning of this section.

## 5 Some first and second order moments

For stationary random mosaics in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  it is known (e.g., [22, Thm. 10.4.1]) that the distribution of the zero cell is, up to translations, the volume-weighted distribution of the typical cell. In this section, we derive an analogous statement for conical tessellations (Lemma 5.2). Together with the expectation (33), it yields the second moment (35), which is an essential prerequisite for the proof of the main result, Theorem 8.1.

Recall that  $\nu_{d-1}$  denotes the unique rotation invariant probability measure on the Grassmannian  $G(d, d-1)$ . The subsequent results require this special distribution for the considered random hyperplanes, instead of the general distribution  $\phi^*$  of the previous sections.

First we formulate a simple lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$  and  $k \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$ , then*

$$\int_{G(d, d-1)^k} \sigma_{d-k-1}(A \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k) \nu_{d-1}^k(d(H_1, \dots, H_k)) = \frac{\omega_{d-k}}{\omega_d} \sigma_{d-1}(A). \quad (29)$$

*Proof.* As a function of  $A$ , the left-hand side of (29) is a finite measure, which, due to the rotation invariance of  $\nu_{d-1}$ , must be invariant under rotations. Up to a constant factor, there is only one such measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ , namely  $\sigma_{d-1}$ . The choice  $A = \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  then reveals the factor.  $\square$

Now let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  be independent random hyperplanes through 0 with distribution  $\nu_{d-1}$ . Before treating the  $(\nu_{d-1}, n)$ -Schläfli cone, we consider a different random cone, which corresponds to the zero cell in the theory of Euclidean tessellations. Let  $e \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be a fixed vector. With probability 1, the vector  $e$  is contained in a unique Schläfli cone induced by  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$ , and we denote this cone by  $S_n^e$ . If  $e \notin H \in G(d, d-1)$ , we denote by  $H^e$  the closed halfspace bounded by  $H$  that contains  $e$ .

Let  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ . Almost surely, each  $(d-k)$ -face of  $S_n^e$  is the intersection of  $S_n^e$  with exactly  $k$  of the hyperplanes  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$ . Conversely, each intersection of  $k$  distinct hyperplanes from  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  a.s. intersects  $S_n^e$  either in a  $(d-k)$ -face or in  $\{0\}$ . Observing this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \Lambda_{d-k}(S_n^e) &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} V_{d-k}(S_n^e \cap \mathcal{H}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_{i_k}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbb{E} V_{d-k}(\mathcal{H}_1^e \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_n^e \cap \mathcal{H}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_{i_k}) \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \mathbb{E} V_{d-k}(\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^e \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_n^e \cap \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_k) \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-k}} \int_{G(d, d-1)^k} V_{d-k}(H_{k+1}^e \cap \dots \cap H_n^e \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k) \\ &\quad \times \nu_{d-1}^k(d(H_1, \dots, H_k)) \nu_{d-1}^{n-k}(d(H_{k+1}, \dots, H_n)). \end{aligned}$$

If  $n = k$ , the outer integration does not appear, and  $\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^e \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_n^e$  has to be interpreted as  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $n < k$ , both sides of the equation are zero.

By Lemma 5.1, the inner integral is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\omega_d} \sigma_{d-1}(H_{k+1}^e \cap \dots \cap H_n^e \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}),$$

hence we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \Lambda_{d-k}(S_n^e) = \binom{n}{k} \mathbb{E} V_d(S_{n-k}^e) \tag{30}$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ . Here both sides of the equation are zero if  $n < k$ , and they are equal to 1 for  $n = k$ .

We next derive a similar formula for  $\mathbb{E} f_{d-k}(S_n^e)$  (in analogy to [21, Sec. 5]). Let  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  and  $n > k$ . As above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} f_{d-k}(S_n^e) &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \mathbf{1}\{S_n^e \cap \mathcal{H}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_{i_k} \neq \{0\}\} \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-k}} \int_{G(d, d-1)^k} \mathbf{1}\{H_{k+1}^e \cap \dots \cap H_n^e \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k \neq \{0\}\} \\ &\quad \times \nu_{d-1}^k(d(H_1, \dots, H_k)) \nu_{d-1}^{n-k}(d(H_{k+1}, \dots, H_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $G(d, d-1)_*^k$  denote the set of all  $k$ -tuples of  $(d-1)$ -dimensional linear subspaces with linearly independent normal vectors. The image measure of  $\nu_{d-1}^k$  under the mapping  $(H_1, \dots, H_k) \mapsto H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k$  from  $G(d, d-1)_*^k$  to  $G(d, d-k)$  is the invariant measure  $\nu_k$ , hence

$$\int_{G(d, d-1)_*^k} \mathbf{1}\{C \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_k \neq \{0\}\} \nu_{d-1}^k(d(H_1, \dots, H_k)) = 2U_k(C)$$

for  $C = H_{k+1}^e \cap \dots \cap H_n^e \in \mathcal{C}^d$  and  $\nu_{d-1}^{n-k}$  almost all  $(H_{k+1}, \dots, H_n) \in G(d, d-1)^{n-k}$ . We conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} f_{d-k}(S_n^e) = 2 \binom{n}{k} \mathbb{E} U_k(S_{n-k}^e)$$

for  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  and  $n > k$ . If  $n = k$ , then  $\mathbb{E} f_{d-k}(S_n^e) = 1$ , and the expectation is zero for  $n < k$ .

To compute  $\mathbb{E} V_d(S_n^e)$ , let  $P \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be a closed spherically convex set containing  $e$ . Writing  $u \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  in the form  $u = te + \sqrt{1-t^2} \bar{u}$  with  $\bar{u} \in e^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , we have

$$\sigma_{d-1}(P) = \int_{e^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\cos \rho(P, \bar{u})}^1 (1-t^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dt \sigma_{d-2}(d\bar{u}) \quad (31)$$

with

$$\rho(P, \bar{u}) = \max\{\rho \in [0, \pi] : (\cos \rho)e + (\sin \rho)\bar{u} \in P\}, \quad \bar{u} \in e^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

Let  $Z_n^e := S_n^e \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . For fixed  $\bar{u} \in e^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , the distribution function of the random variable  $\rho(Z_n^e, \bar{u})$  is given by

$$F(x) = \mathbb{P}(\rho(Z_n^e, \bar{u}) < x) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right)^n,$$

since  $\rho(Z_n^e, \bar{u}) > x$  holds if and only if none of the hyperplanes  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  intersects the great circular arc connecting  $e$  and  $(\cos x)e + (\sin x)\bar{u}$ . Let

$$G(x) := \int_{\cos x}^1 (1-t^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dt = \int_0^x \sin^{d-2} \alpha d\alpha \quad \text{for } x \in [0, \pi].$$

From (31) we have  $G(\pi) = \omega_d/\omega_{d-1}$ . Since the distribution of the random variable  $\rho(Z_n^e, \bar{u})$  does not depend on  $\bar{u}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sigma_{d-1}(Z_n^e) &= \mathbb{E} \int_{e^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\cos \rho(Z_n^e, \bar{u})}^1 (1-t^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} dt \sigma_{d-2}(d\bar{u}) \\ &= \omega_{d-1} \mathbb{E} G(\rho(Z_n^e, \bar{u})) \\ &= \omega_{d-1} \int_0^\pi G(x) F'(x) dx \\ &= \omega_{d-1} \left[ G(\pi) - \int_0^\pi G'(x) F(x) dx \right] \\ &= \omega_{d-1} \left[ \frac{\omega_d}{\omega_{d-1}} - \int_0^\pi \sin^{d-2} x \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right)^n\right) dx \right] \\ &= \omega_{d-1} \int_0^\pi \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right)^n \sin^{d-2} x dx. \end{aligned}$$

After using the binomial theorem, the integral can be evaluated by using recursion formulas and known definite integrals; e.g., see [8, p. 117]. (The evaluation of the integral for  $d = 3$  in [18, (6.16)] is corrected in [5].)

Defining the constant  $\theta(n, d)$  by

$$\theta(n, d) := \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_0^\pi \left(1 - \frac{x}{\pi}\right)^n \sin^{d-2} x \, dx, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (32)$$

and by  $\theta(n, d) := 0$  for  $n < 0$ , and recalling that  $V_d(S_n^e) = \sigma_{d-1}(Z_n^e)/\omega_d$ , we can write the result as

$$\mathbb{E} V_d(S_n^e) = \theta(n, d). \quad (33)$$

Note that  $\theta(0, d) = 1$ . As a corollary, we obtain from (30) that

$$\mathbb{E} \Lambda_{d-k}(S_n^e) = \binom{n}{k} \theta(n-k, d) \quad (34)$$

for  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ . For  $n = k$  both sides are equal to 1, and they are zero for  $n < k$ .

The following lemma relates the distribution of  $S_n^e$  to that of the  $(\nu_{d-1}, n)$ -Schläfli cone  $S_n$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative measurable function on  $\mathcal{PC}^d$  which is invariant under rotations. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} f(S_n^e) = C(n, d) \mathbb{E} (fV_d)(S_n).$$

*Proof.* In the following, we denote by  $\nu$  the invariant probability measure on the rotation group  $\text{SO}(d)$ , and we make use of the fact that

$$\int_{\text{SO}(d)} g(\vartheta e) \nu(d\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\omega_d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} g(u) \sigma_{d-1}(du)$$

for every nonnegative measurable function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Using the rotation invariance of the function  $f$  and of the probability distribution  $\nu_{d-1}$ , we obtain, with  $\vartheta \in \text{SO}(d)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} f(S_n^e) &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n)} f(C) \mathbf{1}_{\text{int } C}(e) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n)} f(C) \mathbf{1}_{\text{int } C}(\vartheta e) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_{\text{SO}(d)} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n)} f(C) \mathbf{1}_{\text{int } C}(\vartheta e) \nu(d\vartheta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_d} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n)} f(C) \mathbf{1}_{\text{int } C}(u) \sigma_{d-1}(du) \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_d} \mathbb{E} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n)} f(C) \sigma_{d-1}(C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \\ &= C(n, d) \mathbb{E} (fV_d)(S_n) \end{aligned}$$

by (19) (with  $\phi^* = \nu_{d-1}$ ). □

From Lemma 5.2 and (34) we get

$$\mathbb{E} (\Lambda_{d-k} V_d)(S_n) = \frac{\binom{n}{k} \theta(n-k, d)}{C(n, d)} \quad (35)$$



for  $k = 0, \dots, d - 1$ . The case  $k = 0$  reads

$$\mathbb{E} V_d^2(S_n) = \frac{\theta(n, d)}{C(n, d)}.$$

Equation (35) is a conical counterpart to Miles [13, Thm. 11.1.1]. The special case  $d = 3$  of (35) is contained in Miles [18, Thm. 6.3].

## 6 Another selection procedure

In Section 4, we have used a selection procedure to define a random cone  $C_n^{[k,j]}$ . This selection procedure will now be modified. The assumptions are the same as in Section 5:  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$  are independent random hyperplanes through 0, each with distribution  $\nu_{d-1}$ , the rotation invariant probability measure on  $G(d, d - 1)$ .

The second selection procedure is equivalent to a conical analogue of the one in [13, Sec. 11.4], though we describe it in a different way. We assume again that  $1 \leq j \leq k \leq d$  and  $n \geq d - j$  (that is,  $n - (d - k) \geq k - j$ ). Now a subspace  $\mathcal{L} \in G(d, k)$  is chosen at random (with equal chances) from the  $k$ -dimensional intersections of the hyperplanes  $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n$ . (If  $k = d$ , then  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{R}^d$  is deterministic. Corresponding adjustments can be made below.) There are indices  $i_1, \dots, i_{d-k} \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{H}_{i_{d-k}},$$

since  $n \geq d - j \geq d - k$ . In the following, if  $\eta_n = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ , we denote by  $\eta_n \langle i_1, \dots, i_{d-k} \rangle$  the  $(n - d + k)$ -tuple that remains when  $H_{i_1}, \dots, H_{i_{d-k}}$  have been removed from  $(H_1, \dots, H_n)$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{H}_n \langle i_1, \dots, i_{d-k} \rangle$  is obtained from  $\mathbf{H}_n = (\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n)$ . Then, employing the definition (21), we define

$$D_n^{[k,j]} := C^{[k,j]}(\mathbf{H}_n \langle i_1, \dots, i_{d-k} \rangle, \mathcal{L}).$$

(Note that the indices  $i_1, \dots, i_{d-k}$  are determined by  $\mathcal{L}$ .)

Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{P}C^d)$ . According to the definition of  $D_n^{[k,j]}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(D_n^{[k,j]} \in B) &= \int_{G(d, d-1)^n} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{d-k}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d-k} \leq n} \frac{1}{C(n - d + k, k, j)} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle i_1, \dots, i_{d-k} \rangle) \\ F \cap H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_{d-k}} \neq \{0\}}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2^{k-j}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle i_1, \dots, i_{d-k} \rangle) \\ C \supset F}} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \nu_{d-1}^n(d\eta_n). \end{aligned}$$

For  $k = d$ , the condition  $F \cap H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_{d-k}} \neq \{0\}$  is empty and can be deleted. Moreover, if  $n = d - k$ , then  $j = k$ ,  $F = C = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $D_n^{[k,j]} = D_{d-k}^{[k,k]} = \mathbb{R}^d$  almost surely. After interchanging the integration and the first summation, the summands of the sum  $\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d-k} \leq n}$  are

all the same. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}(D_n^{[k,j]} \in B) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \int_{G(d, d-1)^n} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle 1, \dots, d-k \rangle) \\ F \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-k} \neq \{0\}}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle 1, \dots, d-k \rangle) \\ C \supset F}} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \nu_{d-1}^n(d\eta_n) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-d+k}} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{d-k}} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ F \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-k} \neq \{0\}}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ C \supset F}} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \nu_{d-1}^{d-k}(d(H_1, \dots, H_{d-k})) \nu_{d-1}^{n-d+k}(d(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n)). \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

If  $n = d - k$ , then the outer integration is omitted and  $F = C = \mathbb{R}^d$ . We have split the  $n$ -fold integration, since the image measure of  $\nu_{d-1}^{d-k}$  under the map  $(H_1, \dots, H_{d-k}) \mapsto H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-k}$  from  $G(d, d-1)_*^{d-k}$  to  $G(d, k)$  is (for reasons of rotation invariance) the Haar probability measure  $\nu_k$  on  $G(d, k)$ . Therefore, for the inner integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G(d, d-1)^{d-k}} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ F \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-k} \neq \{0\}}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ C \supset F}} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \nu_{d-1}^{d-k}(d(H_1, \dots, H_{d-k})) \\
&= \int_{G(d, k)} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n)} \mathbf{1}\{F \cap L \neq \{0\}\} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ C \supset F}} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \nu_k(dL).
\end{aligned}$$

Assume now that  $n > d - j$ . Then, arguing as in the derivation of (23), we see that the latter is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n)} 2U_{d-k}(F) \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n)} \mathbf{1}\{C \supset F\} \mathbf{1}_B(C) \\
&= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n)} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n)} \mathbf{1}\{C \supset F\} 2U_{d-k}(F) \mathbf{1}_B(C) \\
&= 2 \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-k+1}, \dots, H_n)} Y_{d-k+j, d-k}(C) \mathbf{1}_B(C).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(D_n^{[k,j]} \in B) &= \frac{2}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-d+k}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_{n-d+k})} \mathbf{1}_B(C) Y_{d-k+j, d-k}(C) \nu_{d-1}^{n-d+k}(d\eta_{n-d+k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (19) (for  $\phi^* = \nu_{d-1}$ ) this yields

$$Eg(D_n^{[k,j]}) = \frac{2C(n-d+k, d)}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \mathbb{E}(gY_{d-k+j, d-k})(S_{n-d+k}) \quad (37)$$

for every nonnegative, measurable function  $g$  on  $\mathcal{PC}^d$  and  $n > d - j$ . It implies that

$$\mathbb{E}g(D_n^{[k,j]}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(gY_{d-k+j, d-k})(S_{n-d+k})}{\mathbb{E}Y_{d-k+j, d-k}(S_{n-d+k})}.$$

This is the conical counterpart to [13, Thm. 11.5.1] (but in contrast to that, we have no equivalence here:  $n$  on the left side and  $n - d + k$  on the right side).

For later application, we note the special case  $k = j$ . From (36) and (37) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G(d,d-1)^n} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-j+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ C \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} g(C) \nu_{d-1}^n(d(H_1, \dots, H_n)) &= C(n-d+j, j) \mathbb{E} g(D_n^{[j,j]}) \\ &= 2C(n-d+j, d) \mathbb{E}(gU_{d-j})(S_{n-d+j}) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

for  $n > d - j$ .

## 7 A geometric identity

To draw conclusions from the previous results, we need a geometric identity, in analogy to [13, Sec. 11.6]. Let  $\eta_n = (H_1, \dots, H_n) \in G(d, d-1)_*^n$ , let  $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ ,  $n > d - j$  and

$$L_j := H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-j}.$$

Let  $F_j \in \mathcal{F}_j(\eta_n)$  be a  $j$ -face such that  $F_j \subset L_j$ . Let  $k \in \{j, \dots, d\}$ . We delete the hyperplanes  $H_{k-j+1}, \dots, H_{d-j}$ . From the tessellation induced by the remaining hyperplanes, we collect the  $d$ -cones containing  $F_j$  and then classify their  $r$ -faces for fixed  $r$ . Thus, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n, F_j, k) := \{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) : F_j \subset C\}.$$

Let  $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r \leq p \leq d$  and  $d-p \leq k-j$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{r,p} &:= \\ &\{F \in \mathcal{F}_r(C) : C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n, F_j, k), F \subset H_i \text{ for precisely } d-p \text{ indices } i \in \{1, \dots, k-j\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that  $\Lambda_r(C)$ , defined for  $C \in \mathcal{PC}^d$  by (12), is the normalized spherical  $(r-1)$ -volume of the  $(r-1)$ -skeleton of  $C \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , that is,

$$\Lambda_r(C) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r(C)} V_r(F) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r(C)} \frac{\sigma_{r-1}(F \cap \mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{\omega_r}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n, F_j, k)} \Lambda_r(C) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n, F_j, k)} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r(C)} V_r(F) = \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{r,p}} V_r(F),$$

since each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{r,p}$  belongs to precisely  $2^{d-p}$  cones  $C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n, F_j, k)$ .

Let  $Q$  be the unique cone in  $\mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle 1, \dots, d-j \rangle)$  with  $F_j \subset Q$ , and define

$$\mathcal{C}_p := \{Q \cap H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_{d-p}} : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d-p} \leq k-j\}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is a set of  $p$ -dimensional cones, and  $\mathcal{C}_d = \{Q\}$ . Each  $r$ -face  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{r,p}$  satisfies  $F \subset G \in \mathcal{F}_r(D)$  for a unique  $D \in \mathcal{C}_p$  and a unique  $G \in \mathcal{F}_r(D)$ . Conversely, for  $D \in \mathcal{C}_p$  and

$G \in \mathcal{F}_r(D)$ , the  $r$ -face  $G$  is the union of  $r$ -faces from  $\mathcal{F}_{r,p}$ , which pairwise have no relatively interior points in common. It follows that

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{r,p}} V_r(F) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{C}_p} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r(D)} V_r(F).$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n, F_j, k)} \Lambda_r(C) &= \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{C}_p} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_r(D)} V_r(F) \\ &= \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{C}_p} \Lambda_r(D). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Relation (39) was derived for any  $F_j \in \mathcal{F}_j(\eta_n)$  with  $F_j \subset L_j$ . We sum over all such  $j$ -faces and note that  $C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle)$  satisfies  $F_j \subset C$  for some  $j$ -face  $F_j \in \mathcal{F}_j(\eta_n)$  with  $F_j \subset L_j$  if and only if  $C \cap L_j \neq \{0\}$ . Concerning the set  $\mathcal{C}_p$  appearing on the right-hand side of (39), we note that  $Q \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle 1, \dots, d-j \rangle)$  satisfies  $F_j \subset Q$  for some  $j$ -face  $F_j \in \mathcal{F}_j(\eta_n)$  with  $F_j \subset L_j$  if and only if  $Q \cap L_j \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore, we obtain the geometric identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ C \cap L_j \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(C) \\ &= \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d-p} \leq k-j} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle 1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ Q \cap L_j \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(Q \cap H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_{d-p}}), \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

which will be required in Section 8. (For  $k = j$ , the middle sum on the right-hand side has to be deleted, and the equation becomes a tautology.) This holds for  $\eta_n = (H_1, \dots, H_n) \in G(d, d-1)_*^n$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ , with  $L_j := H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-j}$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, d$ ,  $k \in \{j, \dots, d\}$  and for  $n > d-j$ .

## 8 A covariance matrix

Now the preceding results are combined, in analogy to [13, Sec. 11.7]. We use (36), extended to expectations and then applied to the expectation of  $\Lambda_r$ , for given  $r \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . However, it will be convenient to replace the index tuple  $(1, \dots, d-k)$  by  $(k-j+1, \dots, d-j)$ , for given  $j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$  and  $k \in \{j, \dots, d\}$ . As before we assume that  $n > d-j$ . Then we have (splitting the multiple integral appropriately)

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \Lambda_r(D_n^{[k,j]}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-d+j}} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{k-j}} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{d-k}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ F \cap H_{k-j+1} \cap \dots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ C \supset F}} \Lambda_r(C) \\ &\quad \times \nu_{d-1}^{d-k}(\mathrm{d}(H_{k-j+1}, \dots, H_{d-j})) \nu_{d-1}^{k-j}(\mathrm{d}(H_1, \dots, H_{k-j})) \nu_{d-1}^{n-d+j}(\mathrm{d}(H_{d-j+1}, \dots, H_n)). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

(Recall that, for  $k = d$ , the condition  $F \cap H_{k-j+1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}$  is empty and can be deleted.) If  $k > j$ , we split the first sum above in the form

$$\sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ F \cap H_{k-j+1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{k-j} \leq n \\ i_1, \dots, i_{k-j} \notin \{k-j+1, \dots, d-j\}}} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ F \cap H_{k-j+1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}, F \subset H_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i_{k-j}}}}.$$

Then, after interchanging in (41) the first summation on the right side and integration, the outer sum has  $\binom{n-d+k}{k-j}$  equal terms, hence we obtain (again regrouping the integrals)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \Lambda_r(D_n^{[k,j]}) &= \frac{\binom{n-d+k}{k-j}}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{k-j}} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-k+j}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ F \subset H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{k-j}, F \cap H_{k-j+1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ C \supset F}} \Lambda_r(C) \\ &\quad \times \nu_{d-1}^{n-k+j}(\mathrm{d}(H_{k-j+1}, \dots, H_n)) \nu_{d-1}^{k-j}(\mathrm{d}(H_1, \dots, H_{k-j})). \end{aligned}$$

(If  $k = j$ , the condition  $F \subset H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{k-j}$  is empty and can be deleted.) For fixed  $H_1, \dots, H_{k-j}$ , we consider the inner integral

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-k+j}} \sum_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ F \subset H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{k-j}, F \cap H_{k-j+1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ C \supset F}} \Lambda_r(C) \\ &\quad \times \nu_{d-1}^{n-k+j}(\mathrm{d}(H_{k-j+1}, \dots, H_n)). \end{aligned}$$

A cone  $C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle)$  has a face  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{d-k+j}(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle)$  satisfying

$$F \subset H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{k-j} \quad \text{and} \quad F \cap H_{k-j+1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}$$

if and only if

$$C \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\},$$

and it can have at most one such face. Using this and (40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-k+j}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_d(\eta_n \langle k-j+1, \dots, d-j \rangle) \\ C \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(C) \nu_{d-1}^{n-k+j}(\mathrm{d}(H_{k-j+1}, \dots, H_n)) \\ &= \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{d-p} \leq k-j} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-k+j}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-j+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ Q \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(Q \cap H_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i_{d-p}}) \nu_{d-1}^{n-k+j}(\mathrm{d}(H_{k-j+1}, \dots, H_n)). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \Lambda_r(D_n^{[k,j]}) \\
&= \frac{\binom{n-d+k}{k-j}}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{d-p} \leq k-j} \\
&\quad \times \int_{G(d, d-1)^n} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-j+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ Q \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(Q \cap H_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap H_{i_{d-p}}) \nu_{d-1}^n(d(H_1, \dots, H_n)) \\
&= \frac{\binom{n-d+k}{k-j}}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \binom{k-j}{d-p} \int_{G(d, d-1)^{d-p}} \\
&\quad \times \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-d+p}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-j+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ Q \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(Q \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-p}) \\
&\quad \times \nu_{d-1}^{n-d+p}(d(H_{d-p+1}, \dots, H_n)) \nu_{d-1}^{d-p}(d(H_1, \dots, H_{d-p})).
\end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the inner integral above, we fix  $H_1, \dots, H_{d-p}$  in general position and write  $H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-p} =: L_p$ . The image measure of  $\nu_{d-1}$  under the ( $\nu_{d-1}$  almost everywhere well defined) map  $H \mapsto H \cap L_p$  from  $G(d, d-1)$  to the Grassmannian  $G(L_p, p-1)$  of  $(p-1)$ -dimensional subspaces of  $L_p$  is the invariant probability measure  $\mu_{p-1}$  on  $G(L_p, p-1)$ . Therefore, the inner integral can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G(d, d-1)^{n-d+p}} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{F}_d(H_{d-j+1}, \dots, H_n) \\ Q \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(Q \cap L_p) \nu_{d-1}^{n-d+p}(d(H_{d-p+1}, \dots, H_n)) \\
&= \int_{G(L_p, p-1)^{n-d+p}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_p(h_{d-j+1}, \dots, h_n) \\ C \cap h_{d-p+1} \cap \dots \cap h_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(C) \mu_{p-1}^{n-d+p}(d(h_{d-p+1}, \dots, h_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $p \geq j$ . If  $p = j$ , then the second condition under the last sum is empty and can be deleted. Here  $\mathcal{F}_p(h_{d-j+1}, \dots, h_n)$  denotes the set of Schläfli cones in  $L_p$  that are generated by the  $(p-1)$ -planes  $h_{d-j+1}, \dots, h_n$  in  $L_p$ . Identifying  $L_p$  with  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , we can apply (38) in  $L_p$ . For this, we replace  $d$  by  $p$ , the number  $n$  by  $n-d+p$ , and raise the indices of the integration variables in (38) by  $d-p$ . Then (38), with  $g = \Lambda_r$ , reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G(L_p, p-1)^{n-d+p}} \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{F}_p(h_{d-j+1}, \dots, h_n) \\ C \cap h_{d-p+1} \cap \dots \cap h_{d-j} \neq \{0\}}} \Lambda_r(C) \mu_{p-1}^{n-d+p}(d(h_{d-p+1}, \dots, h_n)) \\
&= 2C(n-d+j, p) \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r U_{p-j})(S_{n-d+j}^{(p)}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $S_m^{(p)}$  denotes the  $(\mu_{p-1}, m)$ -Schläfli cone in  $L_p$ . We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \Lambda_r(D_n^{[k,j]}) &= \frac{2 \binom{n-d+k}{k-j}}{2^{k-j} C(n-d+k, k, j)} \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \binom{k-j}{d-p} \\
&\quad \times C(n-d+j, p) \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r U_{p-j})(S_{n-d+j}^{(p)}). \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (42) and (37), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r Y_{d-k+j, d-k})(S_{n-d+k}) \\ &= \frac{\binom{n-d+k}{k-j}}{C(n-d+k, d)} \sum_{p=\max\{r, d-k+j\}}^d 2^{d-p} \binom{k-j}{d-p} C(n-d+j, p) \mathbb{E}(\Lambda_r U_{p-j})(S_{n-d+j}^{(p)}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we substitute  $d-k+j = s$  and  $d-k = t$ . Then we replace  $n$  by  $n+t$  and assume that  $n > d-s$ . The result is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(Y_{s,t} \Lambda_r)(S_n) \\ &= \frac{\binom{n}{d-s}}{C(n, d)} \sum_{p=\max\{r, s\}}^d 2^{d-p} \binom{d-s}{d-p} C(n-d+s, p) \mathbb{E}(U_{p-s+t} \Lambda_r)(S_{n-d+s}^{(p)}). \end{aligned}$$

This is the conical (or spherical) counterpart to [13, Thm. 11.7.1]. (The result is also true for  $n < d-s$ , since then both sides of the equation are zero.)

We specialize the latter to  $t = s-1$ . We have  $Y_{s, s-1} = \Lambda_s$ . Further,  $U_{p-s+t} = U_{p-1} = V_p$  in a space of dimension  $p$ . The value of  $\mathbb{E}(V_p \Lambda_r)(S_{n-d+s}^{(p)})$  is seen from (35). In this way, we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 8.1.** *The face contents of the  $(\nu_{d-1}, n)$ -Schl\"afli cone  $S_n$  satisfy*

$$\mathbb{E}(\Lambda_s \Lambda_r)(S_n) = \frac{\binom{n}{d-s}}{C(n, d)} \sum_{p=\max\{r, s\}}^d 2^{d-p} \binom{d-s}{d-p} \binom{n-d+s}{p-r} \theta(n-d-p+r+s, p) \quad (43)$$

for  $r, s = 1, \dots, d$ , where  $\theta$  is defined by (32).

This is the conical counterpart to [13, Corollary to Thm. 11.7.1].

Theorem 8.1 holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In fact, the right side of (43) is symmetric in  $r$  and  $s$ , and if  $n < d-r$  (or  $n < d-s$ ), then both sides of (43) are zero. For  $n = d-r$  (or  $n = d-s$ ) equation (43) is equivalent to (26). Also note that (35) is obtained as the special case  $s = d-k$  and  $r = d$  of (43).

Since the expectations  $\mathbb{E}\Lambda_r(S_n)$  are known by (26), Theorem 8.1 allows us to write down the complete covariance matrix for the random vector  $(\Lambda_1(S_n), \dots, \Lambda_d(S_n))$ .

For the Cover–Efron cone  $C_n$ , there is only one second moment that we can obtain from Theorem 8.1 by dualization, namely  $\mathbb{E}f_{d-1}^2(C_n) = \mathbb{E}f_1^2(S_n) = 4\mathbb{E}\Lambda_1^2(S_n)$  for  $n \geq d$ .

## References

- [1] Amelunxen, D., Bürgisser, P.: Intrinsic volumes of symmetric cones and applications in convex programming. *Math. Program.* **149**(1–2), Ser. A, 105–130 (2015)
- [2] Arbeiter, E., Zähle, M.: Geometric measures for random mosaics in spherical spaces. *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.* **46**(1–2), 63–77 (1994)
- [3] Calka, P.: Asymptotic methods for random tessellations. In: E. Spodarev (ed.), *Stochastic Geometry, Spatial Statistics and Random Fields, Asymptotic Methods*, Lecture Notes in Math. **2068**, pp. 183–204, Springer, Berlin (2013)

- [4] Cover, T.M., Efron, B.: Geometrical probability and random points on a hypersphere. *Ann. of Math. Statist.* **38**, 213–220 (1967)
- [5] Cowan, R., Miles, R.E.: Letter to the Editor. *Adv. in Appl. Probab. (SGSA)* **41**(4), 1002–1004 (2009)
- [6] Gänszler, P., Stute, W.: *Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie*. Springer, Berlin (1977)
- [7] Glasauer, S.: *Integralgeometrie konvexer Körper im sphärischen Raum*. Doctoral Thesis, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg i. Br. (1995)
- [8] Gröbner, W., Hofreiter, N.: *Integraltafel. Zweiter Teil, Bestimmte Integrale*. Springer, Wien (1950)
- [9] Hug, D.: Random polytopes. In: E. Spodarev (ed.), *Stochastic Geometry, Spatial Statistics and Random Fields, Asymptotic Methods*, Lecture Notes in Math. **2068**, pp. 205–238, Springer, Berlin (2013)
- [10] Matheron, G.: Hyperplans Poissoniens et compact de Steiner. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **6**, 563–579 (1974)
- [11] Matheron, G.: *Random Sets and Integral Geometry*. Wiley, New York (1975)
- [12] McCoy, M.B., Tropp, J.A.: From Steiner formulas for cones to concentration of intrinsic volumes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **51**(4), 926–963 (2014)
- [13] Miles, R.E.: Random polytopes: the generalisation to  $n$  dimensions of the intervals of a Poisson process. Ph.D. Thesis, Cambridge Univ. (1961)
- [14] Miles, R.E.: Random polygons determined by random lines in a plane. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **52**, 901–907 (1964)
- [15] Miles, R.E.: Random polygons determined by random lines in a plane II. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **52**, 1157–1160 (1964)
- [16] Miles, R.E.: Poisson flats in Euclidean spaces. I: A finite number of random uniform flats. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **1**, 211–237 (1969)
- [17] Miles, R.E.: A synopsis of ‘Poisson flats in Euclidean spaces’. *Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR, Mat.* **5**, 263–285 (1970); Reprinted in: Harding, E.F., Kendall, D.G. (eds.), *Stochastic Geometry*, pp. 202–227, Wiley, New York (1974)
- [18] Miles, R.E.: Random points, sets and tessellations on the surface of a sphere. *Sankhyā, Ser. A*, **33**, 145–174 (1971)
- [19] Redenbach, C., Liescher, A.: Random tessellations and their application to the modelling of cellular materials. In: V. Schmidt (ed.), *Stochastic Geometry, Spatial Statistics and Random Fields, Models and Algorithms*, Lecture Notes in Math. **2120**, pp. 73–93, Springer, Cham (2015)
- [20] Santaló, L.A., *Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. **1**, Addison–Wesley, Reading, MA (1976)
- [21] Schneider, R.: Weighted faces of Poisson hyperplane tessellations. *Adv. in Appl. Probab. (SGSA)* **41**(3), 682–694 (2009)



- [22] Schneider, R., Weil, W.: Stochastic and Integral Geometry. Springer, Berlin (2008)
- [23] Voss, F., Gloaguen, C., Schmidt, V.: Random tessellations and Cox processes. In: E. Spodarev (ed.), *Stochastic Geometry, Spatial Statistics and Random Fields, Asymptotic Methods*, Lecture Notes in Math. **2068**, pp. 151–182, Springer, Berlin (2013)

Authors' addresses:

Daniel Hug  
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology  
Department of Mathematics  
D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany  
e-mail: daniel.hug@kit.edu

Rolf Schneider  
Albert-Ludwigs-Universität  
Mathematisches Institut  
D-79104 Freiburg i. Br., Germany  
e-mail: rolf.schneider@math.uni-freiburg.de