

subgroup of M . (pp-definable subgroups were introduced in [34] as ‘endlich matzielle Untergruppen’.)

Lemma 1.2. Let $\varphi(x, \mathbf{y})$ be a pp-formula and $\mathbf{a} \in M$. Then $\varphi(M, \mathbf{a})$ is empty or a coset of $\varphi(M, \mathbf{0})$. (\mathbf{a} stands for a finite sequence a_1, \dots, a_n of elements of M .)

Proof. $M \models \varphi(x, \mathbf{a}) \rightarrow (\varphi(y, \mathbf{0}) \leftrightarrow \varphi(x+y, \mathbf{a}))$.

Corollary 1.3. Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in M$, $\varphi(x, \mathbf{y})$ a ppf. Then (in M) $\varphi(x, \mathbf{a})$ and $\varphi(x, \mathbf{b})$ are equivalent or contradictory.

Note. The pp-definable subgroups are closed under \cap and $+$. If $\varphi(x)$, $\psi(x)$ are ppf, we write

$$\varphi \cap \psi = \varphi \wedge \psi,$$

$$\varphi + \psi = \exists y, z \varphi(y) \wedge \psi(z) \wedge y+z \doteq x.$$

By $\varphi \subset \psi$ we mean that $\vdash \varphi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)$.

For the proof of 1.1 we need two further lemmas:

Lemma 1.4 (B.H. Neumann). Let H_i denote abelian groups. If $H_0+a_0 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i+a_i$ and $H_0/(H_0 \cap H_i)$ is infinite for $i > k$, then $H_0+a_0 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k H_i+a_i$.

Lemma A (for sets A_i). If A_0 is finite, then $A_0 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ iff

$$\sum_{\Delta \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{|\Delta|} \left| A_0 \cap \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} A_i \right| = 0. \quad (\text{Easy})$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix M . We have to show: If $\psi(x, \mathbf{y})$ is in M equivalent to a boolean combination of ppf, then also $\forall x \psi$ is. Since ppf are closed under conjunction, ψ is M -equivalent to a conjunction of formulas

$$\varphi_0(x, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow \varphi_1(x, \mathbf{y}) \vee \dots \vee \varphi_n(x, \mathbf{y}), \quad \varphi_i \text{ ppf.}$$

We can assume that already ψ has this form.

Let $H_i = \varphi_i(M, \mathbf{0})$. By 1.2 the $\varphi_i(M, \mathbf{y})$ are empty or cosets of H_i . (Think of \mathbf{y} as being fixed in M .) Let $H_0/(H_0 \cap H_i)$ be finite for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and infinite for $i = k+1, \dots, n$ ($k \geq 0$). By 1.4

$$M \models \forall x \psi \leftrightarrow \forall x (\varphi_0(x, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow \varphi_1(x, \mathbf{y}) \vee \dots \vee \varphi_k(x, \mathbf{y})).$$

We apply Lemma A to the sets $A_i = \varphi_i(M, \mathbf{y})/(H_0 \cap \dots \cap H_k)$: $\varphi_0(M, \mathbf{y}) \cap \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \varphi_i(M, \mathbf{y})$ is empty or consists of N_A cosets of $H_0 \cap \dots \cap H_k$, where

$$N_A = \left| H_0 \cap \bigcap_{i \in \Delta} H_i / (H_0 \cap \dots \cap H_k) \right|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leftrightarrow & \bigvee_{\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{P}(\{\Delta\})} \left(\bigwedge_{\Delta \in \mathcal{N}} \exists x \left(f_0(x, \mathbf{y}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \varphi_i(x, \mathbf{y}) \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{\Delta \notin \mathcal{N}} \exists x \left(f_0(x, \mathbf{y}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \neg \varphi_i(x, \mathbf{y}) \right) \right) \\ \text{Whence } & \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \{\Delta\}} N_\Delta = 0 \\ M \models \forall x \psi & \leftrightarrow \sum_{\Delta \in \mathcal{N}} (-1)^{|\Delta|} N_\Delta = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{ \Delta \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\} \mid \exists x \left(\varphi_0(x, \mathbf{y}) \wedge \bigwedge_{i \in \Delta} \varphi_i(x, \mathbf{y}) \right) \right\}.$$

The resulting formula depends only on the indices N_Δ . Since pp-sentences are always true, the above proof shows:

Corollary 1.5 (Monk [14]). M_1 and M_2 are elementarily equivalent iff

$$\varphi/\psi(M_1) = \varphi/\psi(M_2) \quad \text{for all ppf } \psi \subset \varphi.$$

(Notation: $\varphi/\psi(M) = (\varphi(M) : \psi(M)) \bmod \infty$. We assume $\varphi/\psi(M)$ to be a natural number or $=\infty$. Convention: $n \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot n = \infty$ ($n \geq 1$) etc.)

Definition. M is a pure submodule of N , if $M \subseteq N$ and

$$N \models \varphi(M) \Leftrightarrow M \models \varphi \quad \text{for all ppf } \varphi \text{ and } \mathbf{a} \in M.$$

Examples. $M \lessdot N$, M a direct factor of N .

Corollary 1.6 (Sabbagh [29]). M is an elementary substructure of N iff M is pure in N and elementarily equivalent to N .

Proof. Since $M \equiv N$, every L_R -formula is – in M and in N – equivalent to the same boolean combination of ppfs.

Corollary 1.7. Suppose $L \subseteq M \subseteq N$. If $L \lessdot N$ and M pure in N , then $M \lessdot N$.

Proof. $\varphi/\psi(L) \leqslant \varphi/\psi(M) \leqslant \varphi/\psi(N)$ by pureness, whence $M \equiv L \equiv N$.

Corollary 1.8. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Denote by $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ the product of the M_i restricted to sequences with $<\kappa$ members $\neq 0$. Then $\prod_{i \in I} M_i \lessdot \prod_{i \in I} M_i$.

Proof. $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is the directed union of the modules $\prod_{i \in J} M_i$, $|J| < \kappa$, which are direct factors of $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$. Whence $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ is pure $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$. One computes easily

$$\varphi/\psi\left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i\right) = \prod_{i \in I} \varphi/\psi(M_i) = \varphi/\psi\left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i\right).$$

Whence

$$\varphi/\psi\left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i\right) = \prod_{i \in I} \varphi/\psi(M_i) = \varphi/\psi\left(\prod_{i \in I} M_i\right).$$