

ON $\mathfrak{sl}(2, K[x])$

MATTHIAS WENDT

Nagao's theorem [Nag59], cf. also [Ser80], states that for any infinite field k , there is an amalgamated free product decomposition

$$SL_2(k[t]) \cong SL_2(k) *_{B(k)} B(k[t]),$$

where $B(R)$ denotes the subgroup of upper triangular matrices over R . In some sense, this decomposition stems from the fact that $SL_2(k[t])$ acts on the corresponding Bruhat-Tits tree. We want to show that the Lie algebra analogue of the result is false.

Proposition 0.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. The obvious morphism*

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 *_{\mathfrak{b}_2} (\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t]$$

is not an isomorphism.

The obvious morphism

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 *_{\mathfrak{b}_2} (\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t]$$

is induced by the universal property of the free amalgamated product in the category of Lie algebras. For the definition and existence of free amalgamated products of Lie algebras, see [BK94, Definition 4.2.1 resp. Theorem 4.4.2].

(i) The morphism is surjective. For this, it suffices to show that each of the basis elements $e_i \otimes t^n$ lies in the image. But this follows from

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^n & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} t^n & 0 \\ 0 & -t^n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

(ii) The kernel is a free Lie algebra. This follows from a result of Kukin, cf. [Kuk72, Theorem 1] or [BK94, Theorem 4.9.2] because the intersection of the kernel with \mathfrak{sl}_2 and $\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]$ is trivial.

(iii) For an amalgamated free product of Lie algebras, there is a Mayer-Vietoris sequence [Aya85]. The sequence for $\mathfrak{sl}_2 *_{\mathfrak{b}_2} (\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t])$ breaks up into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(\mathfrak{b}_2) \rightarrow H_n(\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]) \oplus H_n(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow H_n(\mathfrak{sl}_2 *_{\mathfrak{b}_2} (\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t])) \rightarrow 0$$

since the inclusion $\mathfrak{b}_2 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]$ is split by evaluation at $t = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$H_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2 *_{\mathfrak{b}_2} (\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t])) / H_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \cong H_1(\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]) / H_1(\mathfrak{b}_2)$$

But $H_1(\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes R) = R$ and we find

$$H_1(\mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]) / H_1(\mathfrak{b}_2) \cong tk[t].$$

(iv) Using the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the extension of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t]$ by the kernel, we find

$$H_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2 *_{\mathfrak{b}_2} \mathfrak{b}_2 \otimes k[t]) \cong (H_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t], H_1(\ker))/H_2(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t], k)) \oplus H_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2[t], k).$$

But $H_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t], k) = 0$ since $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t]$ is perfect, here we use the assumption that $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Therefore, we have

$$H_0(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t], H_1(\ker))/H_2(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes k[t], k) \cong tk[t]$$

implying that the kernel is quite big.

REFERENCES

- [Aya85] M. Ayadi. Suite exacte de Mayer-Vietoris pour l'homologie des algèbres de Lie. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 301 (1985), 257–260.
- [BK94] L.A. Bokut and G.P. Kukin. Algorithmic and combinatorial algebra. Mathematics and its Applications, 255. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, 1994.
- [Kuk72] G.P. Kukin. Subalgebras of the free Lie sum of Lie algebras with a joint subalgebra. Algebra and Logic 11 (1972), 33–49.
- [Nag59] H. Nagao. On $GL(2, K[x])$. J. Poly. Osaka Univ. 10 (1959), 117–121.
- [Ser80] J.-P. Serre. Trees. Springer, 1980.

MATTHIAS WENDT, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG, ECKERSTRASSE 1, 79104, FREIBURG IM BREISGAU, GERMANY

E-mail address: `matthias.wendt@math.uni-freiburg.de`