## Exercise Sheet 4 16. Mai 2024

Due on May 27 before the exercise session. 2 points per exercise.

Here we will prove Sierpinski's theorem, concluding with Exercise 4.

As in the proof of the Dushnik-Miller Theorem  $\langle g_{\alpha} : \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0} \rangle$  enumerate all orderpreserving functions  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  other than the identity.

**Exercise 1.** Construct sets  $\langle x_{\alpha} : \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0} \rangle$  and  $\langle y_{\alpha} : \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0} \rangle$  by transfinite recursion as follows:

- (i) Show that there is some  $x_0$  such that  $g_0(x_0) \neq x_0$  and there is some  $y_0$  such that  $y_0 \neq x_0, y_0 \neq g_0(x_0), g_0(y_0) \neq x_0$ , and  $g_0(y_0) \neq y_0$ .
- (ii) Suppose that for each  $\beta < \alpha$  we have chosen points  $x_{\beta}$  and  $y_{\beta}$  such that the three sets

 $\{x_{\beta}: \beta < \alpha\}$  and  $\{y_{\beta}: \beta < \alpha\}$  and  $\{g_{\beta}(x_{\beta}): \beta < \alpha\} \cup \{g_{\beta}(y_{\beta}): \beta < \alpha\}$ 

are pairwise disjoint.

Show that there is an element  $x_{\alpha}$  such that for all  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \neq x_{\beta}$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \neq y_{\beta}$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \neq g_{\beta}(x_{\beta})$ ,  $x_{\alpha} \neq g_{\beta}(y_{\beta})$ , and  $g_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) \neq y_{\beta}$ , and also such that for all  $\beta \leq \alpha$ ,  $g_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}) \neq x_{\beta}$ .

(iii) With the setup as in (ii), having chosen  $x_{\alpha}$ , show that there is a  $y_{\alpha}$  such that for all  $\beta \leq \alpha$  (notice the non-strict inequality!),  $y_{\alpha} \neq x_{\beta}$ ,  $y_{\alpha} \neq g_{\beta}(x_{\beta})$ ,  $g_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha}) \neq x_{\beta}$ ,  $g_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha}) \neq y_{\beta}$ , and for all  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $y_{\alpha} \neq y_{\beta}$ ,  $y_{\alpha} \neq g_{\beta}(y_{\beta})$ .

Let  $E = \langle x_{\alpha} : \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0} \rangle$  and  $F = \langle y_{\alpha} : \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0} \rangle$ .

**Exercise 2.** Argue that:

- (a)  $E \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $|E| = |F| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ ,
- (b) E and F are both dense subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
- (c) *E* and *F* are disjoint from  $\{g_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha}): \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0}\} \cup \{g_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha}): \alpha < 2^{\aleph_0}\}.$

(Each of these should be fairly straightforward and analogous to the proof of the Dushnik-Miller Theorem. Specifically, each point can be justified with only a line or two.)

For any subset  $T \subseteq F$ , let  $E_T = E \cup T$ .

**Exercise 3.** Let  $S, T \subseteq F$  and suppose that  $S \setminus T$  is non-empty. Then there is no orderpreserving function  $h: E_S \to E_T$ . (Hint: Consider  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  extending h and think about why and how g is dealt with in the construction.)

**Exercise 4.** Show that there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$ -many dense subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , each of cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , which are pairwise non-order-isomorphic (i.e. no two of them are order-isomorphic to one another). (Hint: Use the previous exercise. The respective definitions of the sets are quite simple, so be advised not to look for anything technical.)

Hence we have a strong contrast with Cantor's theorem on dense countable linear orders without endpoints!